

Economics 245 — Fall 2011

International Trade

Problem Set 2

October 18, 2011

Due: Thu, November 3, 2011
Instructor: Marc-Andreas Muendler
E-mail: muendler@ucsd.edu

1 Properties of the Pareto and Fréchet Distributions

Consider a Pareto distributed variable $\phi \sim \mathcal{P}(\phi_0, \theta)$, where ϕ_0 is called the location parameter and θ the shape parameter. The Pareto distribution function is $F(Z \leq \phi) = 1 - (\phi_0/\phi)^\theta$.

1. Show that the Pareto density function is $\mu(\phi|\phi_0, \theta) = \theta(\phi_0)^\theta/(\phi)^{\theta+1}$.
2. For any $\phi^* > \phi_0$, show that the conditional distribution function is: $F(\phi|\phi \geq \phi^*) = 1 - (\phi^*/\phi)^\theta$, also a Pareto distribution function.
3. Consider a transformed random variable $A(\phi)^B$ with $A, B > 0$. Show that the transformed variable is Pareto distributed with location parameter $A(\phi_0)^B$ and shape parameter θ/B .
4. Show that the mean of a Pareto distributed variable ϕ is $\mathbb{E}[\phi|\phi_0, \theta] = \theta\phi_0/(\theta - 1)$.
5. Consider the Fréchet distribution $G(Z \leq z) = \exp\{-Tz^{-\theta}\}$. Show that the Fréchet distribution approaches the Pareto distribution “in the right tail”, that is show that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} G(Z \leq z) = 1 - Tz^{-\theta}.$$

(Hint: Use the fact that $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z^{-\theta} = 0$.)

2 Chaney (AER 2008) and Gravity

There are N countries. A country carries a subscript s if it is the source of exports, and a subscript d if it is a destination ($s, d = 1, \dots, N$). In each country lives a measure of L_d consumers, who inelastically supply one unit of labor and own the shares of domestic firms. Firms choose to enter their respective home market s and any export destination d . There are source-destination iceberg

transportation costs τ_{sd} between countries, and there is a source-destination specific fixed cost of entry F_{sd} .

The L_d representative consumers have identical CES preferences over a continuum of varieties with

$$U_d = \left[\sum_{s=1}^N \int_{\omega \in \Omega_{sd}} c(\omega)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} d\omega \right]^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \quad \text{for } \varepsilon = \sigma > 1.$$

Ω is the (fixed) measure of available varieties, given a predetermined measure of firms J_s in every country that sells varieties.

Each firm ω 's production technology is constant returns to scale but firms from country s differ in productivity ϕ , which they draw from a Pareto distribution $F(\phi) = 1 - (b_s/\phi)^\theta$. It will be convenient to call all firms ω with a given productivity level the firms ϕ .

1. Show that consumer demand for a firm ϕ 's variety $c_{sd}(\phi)$ is

$$c_{sd}(\phi) = \frac{(p_{sd})^{-\sigma}}{(P_d)^{1-\sigma}} y_d L_d$$

for the ideal price index

$$P_d \equiv \left(\int_{\phi \in \Omega_{sd}} p_{sd}^{1-\sigma} d\phi \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}.$$

2. Upon entry, each firm maximizes operational profits

$$\pi_{sd}(\phi) = \left(p_{sd} - \tau_{sd} \frac{w_s}{\phi} \right) \left(\frac{p_{sd}}{P_d} \right)^{-\sigma} \frac{y_d L_d}{P_d} - F_{sd}.$$

Show that optimal price is a constant markup over unit production cost with

$$p_{sd}(\phi) = \tilde{\sigma} \frac{\tau_{sd} w_s}{\phi}, \quad \tilde{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}.$$

3. Derive a firm ϕ 's total sales $p_{sd}(\phi)q_{sd}(\phi)$ and show that optimal profits satisfy $\pi_{sd}(\phi) = p_{sd}(\phi)q_{sd}(\phi)/\sigma$. Using results from Question 1 show that total sales $p_{sd}(\phi)q_{sd}(\phi)$ are Pareto distributed.
4. Show that the least productive firm from country s with a productivity

$$\phi_{sd}^* = \left(\frac{\sigma F_{sd}}{y_d L_d} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \frac{\tilde{\sigma} \tau_{sd} w_s}{P_d}.$$

just breaks even in destination market d . Explain the intuition.

5. Using results from Question 1, derive aggregate exports from source country s to destination d :

$$X_{sd} = \int_{\phi_{sd}^*}^{\infty} p_{sd}(\phi) q_{sd}(\phi) \mu(\phi | \phi_{sd}^*, \theta) d\phi,$$

where $\mu(\phi | \phi_{sd}^*, \theta)$ is the conditional Pareto density for firms from s active at d .

6. Use Leibnitz's rule to derive the elasticity of aggregate trade with respect to variable trade costs $\partial \log X_{sd} / \partial \log \tau_{sd}$. Interpret the two terms.

3 Arkolakis & Muendler (2011) and Product Entry

As in the question before, there are N countries. A country carries a subscript s if it is the source of exports, and a subscript d if it is a destination ($s, d = 1, \dots, N$). In each country lives a measure of L_d consumers, who inelastically supply one unit of labor and own the shares of domestic firms. Firms choose to enter their respective home market s and any export destination d . There are source-destination iceberg transportation costs τ_{sd} between countries, and there is a source-destination specific fixed cost of entry $F_{sd}(G_{sd})$ that depends on a firm's exporter scope (number of products) G_{sd} at a destination.

Now the L_d representative consumers have identical CES preferences over a continuum of firms *and* products. The lower tier of the utility aggregate is a firm's unique product mix ("variety")

$$U_d = \left[\sum_{s=1}^N \int_{\omega \in \Omega_{sd}} \left(\int_1^{G_{sd}(\omega)} q_{sd}(g, \omega)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} dg \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1} \frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} d\omega \right]^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \quad \text{with } \varepsilon \neq \sigma \text{ and } \varepsilon, \sigma > 1.$$

In its product mix, a firm has a continuum of $g \in [1, G_{sd}]$ products at destination market d .

Each firm ω 's production technology is constant returns to scale but firms from country s differ in productivity ϕ , which they draw from a Pareto distribution $F(\phi) = 1 - (b_s/\phi)^\theta$. It will be convenient to call all firms ω with a given productivity level the firms ϕ . For each destination market d , a firm chooses its specific exporter scope $G_{sd}(\phi)$. In production, a firm faces constant marginal cost for each product that it adopts.

1. Show that consumer demand for an individual product $q_{sd}(g, \phi)$ is

$$q_{sd}(g, \phi) = (p_{sd}(g))^{-\varepsilon} \frac{P_{sd}(\phi; G_{sd})^{\varepsilon-\sigma}}{(P_d)^{1-\sigma}} y_d L_d$$

for the ideal price indexes

$$P_d \equiv \left(\int_{\phi \in \Omega_{sd}} P_{sd}^{1-\sigma} d\phi \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{sd} \equiv \left(\int_1^{G_{sd}(\phi)} p_{sd}(g, \phi)^{1-\varepsilon} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}.$$

Hint: The first-order conditions imply for Marshallian demand of a firm's product-mix

$$X_d \equiv \left(\sum_{s=1}^N \int_{\phi \in \Omega_{sd}} X_{sd}(\phi; G_{sd})^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} d\phi \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{sd}(\phi; G_{sd}) \equiv \left(\int_1^{G_{sd}(\phi)} q_{sd}(g, \omega)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} dg \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}.$$

2. Show that $P_{sd}(\phi; G_{sd})$ strictly decreases in exporter scope G_{sd} .
3. *Cannibalization.* Show that scope diminishes infra-marginal shipments $q_{sd}(g, \phi)$ and infra-marginal scale $p_{sd}(g, \phi)q_{sd}(g, \phi)$ if and only if $\varepsilon > \sigma$.
4. Upon entry, each firm maximizes operational profits

$$\pi_{sd}(\phi) = \int_1^{G_{sd}(\phi)} \left(p_{sd}(g) - \frac{\tau_{sd} w_s}{\phi} \right) p_{sd}(g)^{-\varepsilon} \frac{(P_{sd})^{\varepsilon-\sigma}}{(P_d)^{-(\sigma-1)}} y_d L_d dg - F_{sd}(G_{sd}).$$

Show that optimal price is a constant markup over unit production cost with

$$p_{sd}(g, \phi) = \tilde{\sigma} \frac{\tau_{sd} w_s}{\phi}, \quad \tilde{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}.$$

Hint: For this purpose, maximize the firm's constrained Lagrangian objective function

$$\max_{P_{sd}, \{p_{sd}(g)\}_{g \in [1, G_{sd}]}} \pi_{sd}(\phi) + \lambda \left(P_{sd} - \left[\int_1^{G_{sd}} p_{sd}(g)^{-(\varepsilon-1)} dg \right]^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon-1}} \right).$$

5. Consider G_{sd} as given. Show that optimal product scale is the same for every product g with

$$p_{sd}(g, \phi) q_{sd}(g, \phi) = (G_{sd})^{-\frac{\varepsilon-\sigma}{\varepsilon-1}} y_d L_d \left(\frac{\phi P_d}{\tilde{\sigma} \tau_{sd} w_s} \right)^{\sigma-1}.$$

Hint: Use the fact that $P_{sd}(\phi; G_{sd}) = (G_{sd})^{1/(1-\varepsilon)} p_{sd}(g, \phi)$. Is constant product scale for every product g realistic? How can the firm's optimization problem be extended to generate a product scale distribution within the firm?

6. Using optimal product sales, show that the profit function becomes

$$\pi_{sd}(\phi) = \frac{(G_{sd})^{\bar{\sigma}} y_d L_d}{\sigma} \left(\frac{\phi P_d}{\bar{\sigma} \tau_{sd} w_s} \right)^{\sigma-1} - w_d F_d(G_{sd}) \quad \text{where } \bar{\sigma} \equiv \frac{\sigma - 1}{\varepsilon - 1}.$$

From now on, suppose the fixed entry costs take the form $F_d(G_{sd}) = \kappa_d + \gamma_d (G_{sd})^{\delta+1}/(\delta + 1)$ where $\gamma_d > 0, \delta > 0$. Fixed costs are paid in destination market wages w_d .

7. Show that the optimal exporter scope for a firm from s shipping to d is

$$G_{sd}(\phi) = \left[\frac{\bar{\sigma} y_d L_d}{\sigma w_d \gamma_d} \left(\frac{\phi P_d}{\bar{\sigma} \tau_{sd} w_s} \right)^{\sigma-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{\delta - (\bar{\sigma} - 1)}} \quad \text{for } G_{sd}(\phi) \geq G_d^* \quad \text{and} \quad \delta > \bar{\sigma} - 1.$$

What is the intuition for the condition $\delta > \bar{\sigma} - 1$? Consider the benchmark case of $\bar{\sigma} = 1$ and relate it to the cannibalization effect. What would optimal scope be if the condition were strictly violated with $\bar{\sigma} - 1 > \delta$?

8. Applying the zero-profit condition $\pi_{sd}(\phi) = 0$, show that the minimum optimal scope G_d^* of any firm in country d is

$$G_d^* = \left(\frac{(\delta + 1) \bar{\sigma} \kappa_d}{\delta - (\bar{\sigma} - 1) \gamma_d} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}}.$$

Note that minimum scope is independent of source country characteristics and independent of the destination country's size L_d and per-capita income y_d . Is this realistic?

9. Define the productivity threshold for exporting from s to d

$$\phi_{sd}^* = \left(\frac{y_d L_d}{\sigma} \right)^{-\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \left(\frac{w_d \gamma_d}{\bar{\sigma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1} \frac{\bar{\sigma}}{\delta+1}} \left(\frac{(\delta + 1) w_d \kappa_d}{\delta - (\bar{\sigma} - 1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1} \frac{\delta - (\bar{\sigma} - 1)}{\delta+1}} \frac{\bar{\sigma} \tau_{sd} w_s}{P_d}.$$

Using G_d^* as the optimal exporter scope $G_{sd}(\phi_{sd}^*)$ for a firm at the productivity threshold, verify that the definition is correct.

10. Show that a firm's optimal exporter scope can be expressed as

$$G_{sd}(\phi) = G_d^* \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_{sd}^*} \right)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\delta - (\bar{\sigma} - 1)}}.$$

11. Show that firm's optimal total exports are

$$T_{sd}(\phi) \equiv G_{sd}(\phi) p_{sdg}(\phi) x_{sdg}(\phi) = \frac{(\delta + 1) \sigma w_d \kappa_d}{\delta - (\bar{\sigma} - 1)} \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_{sd}^*} \right)^{(\delta+1) \frac{\sigma-1}{\delta - (\bar{\sigma} - 1)}}.$$

12. Using results from Question 1 show that $G_{sd}(\phi)$ and $T_{sd}(\phi)$ are both Pareto distributed.

4 Eaton & Kortum (Econometrica 2002)

Consider the Eaton & Kortum model (Econometrica 2002).

1. Show that the probability for county s to ship a good at the lowest price to country d is simply

$$\pi_{sd} = \frac{T_s (c_s d_{sd})^{-\theta}}{\Phi_d}.$$

2. Show that the price of a good that country d actually imports from county s has the distribution $G_d(p) = 1 - \exp\{-\Phi_d p^\theta\}$.
3. Show that with CES utility, and a Fréchet distribution for the prices actually paid by consumers, the price index takes the form

$$p_d = \gamma \Phi_d^{-1/\theta}, \quad \gamma \equiv \left[\Gamma \left(\frac{\theta + 1 - \sigma}{\theta} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}},$$

where Γ is the Gamma function and the parameter restriction $\theta > \sigma - 1$ is satisfied for the price index to be well-defined.