I start with a selection of problems from Mas-Colell, Whinston, and Green, Microeconomic Theory, Oxford, 1995 (note that some exercises are in the text within the chapters in which they appear):

**Preference and Choice**
Exercises 1.B.1-5  
Exercises 1.C.1  
Exercises 1.D.2-4

**Consumer Choice**
Exercises 2.D.1-4  
Exercises 2.E.1-8  
Exercises 2.F.1-3, 5-8, 10-12, 16-17

**Classical Demand Theory**
Exercises 3.B.1-3  
Exercises 3.C.1-6  
Exercises 3.D.1-8  
Exercises 3.E.1-10  
Exercises 3.F.2  
Exercises 3.G.1-6, 14-15  
Exercises 3.H.3-7  
Exercises 3.I.1, 3,5-6,9,11  
Exercises 3.J.1

**Production**
Exercises 5.B.1-3, 6  
Exercises 5.C.1-5, 8-13  
Exercises 5.D.1-3, 5  
Exercises 5.E.1-2
Additional problems of my own (from various sources):

Preference and Choice

1. (a) Suppose that an individual has lexicographic preferences over two variables, each of which is a “good” (that is, more of it is better). Are those preferences transitive? Are they weakly monotone? Are they strongly monotone? Are they weakly convex? Are they strictly convex? Show why or why not in each case, using graphs if desired.

(b) Answer part (a) again, but when \( x_1 \) is a “bad” rather than a good (\( x_2 \) is still a good).

(c) Answer part (a) again, but for Leontief preferences in which both \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) are goods.

2. Prove that if a preference relation is not transitive, then it cannot be represented by a utility function.

Consumer Choice and Classical Demand Theory

3. Compute my Walrasian/Marshallian and Hicksian demand functions when my utility function \( U(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \min[x_1+x_2, x_3+x_4] \).

4. Suppose that a consumer has utility function \( u(x_1, x_2) = \ln x_1 + 3x_2 \) and faces a budget constraint \( p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 \leq w \), where \( p_1, p_2, w > 0 \) and \( x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \).

(a) Find the consumer’s expenditure function, \( e(p_1, p_2, u) \) and Hicksian demand functions, \( h_1(p_1, p_2, u) \) and \( h_2(p_1, p_2, u) \). (Hint: For this utility function you may assume that \( h_1(p_1, p_2, u) > 0 \) for all \( p_1, p_2, \) and \( u \). Find the values of \( p_1, p_2, \) and \( u \) that yield solutions with \( h_2(p_1, p_2, u) = 0 \) and with \( h_2(p_1, p_2, u) > 0 \), and compute the solution in each case.)

(b) What is the relationship between \( \partial h_1(p_1, p_2, u)/\partial p_2 \) and \( \partial h_2(p_1, p_2, u)/\partial p_1 \) when these partial derivatives exist? Compute the partial derivatives from your solutions for \( h_1(p_1, p_2, u) \) and \( h_2(p_1, p_2, u) \) in part (a), and verify directly that the relationship holds.

(c) What are the units in which \( \partial h_1(p_1, p_2, u)/\partial p_2 \) and \( \partial h_2(p_1, p_2, u)/\partial p_1 \) are measured? Explain how those units can be the same (as is necessary given the relationship in (b)) even though the units of \( h_1(p_1, p_2, u) \) and \( h_2(p_1, p_2, u) \), and of \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \), are generally different.
5. Consider the following system of Marshallian demand functions: \( x_1(p_1, p_2, w) = aw/p_1, \)
\( x_2(p_1, p_2, w) = (1-a)w/p_2, \) where \( 0 < a < 1 \) and \( p_1, p_2 > 0. \)

(a) Which, if any, of the goods is a Giffen good? A normal good? An inferior good? A luxury good? Explain.

(b) Compute the Slutsky substitution matrix for these demand functions. Does it satisfy the symmetry condition that is necessary for consistency with utility maximization? Are its diagonal elements (the “own” substitution effects) negative?

(c) Are the goods (Hicksian) complements or substitutes? Are the goods gross (Walrasian/Marshallian) complements or substitutes?

(d) Assuming that the symmetry condition from part (b) is satisfied, explain how you would recover the consumer’s direct utility function from his demand functions (but do not actually do it).

6. Consider an individual with an increasing, differentiable, strictly quasiconcave utility function \( U(x_1, x_2), \) and with differentiable compensated demand functions \( h_1^*(p_1, p_2, u) \)
and \( h_2^*(p_1, p_2, u). \) If you know that \( \partial h_1^*(17,17,1000)/\partial p_1 = -50, \) what is the most you can say about the value of \( \partial h_2^*(17,15,1000)/\partial p_1, \) and the value of \( \partial h_1^*(17,15,1000)/\partial u? \)

7. Consider a consumer with preferences represented by a continuous, strictly increasing utility function, who maximizes utility subject to a budget constraint. In each case, the units of measurement are predetermined and do not change.

(a) Suppose that after purchasing his original optimum, the consumer is given a coupon that entitles him to consume an extra free small unit (scale of units is fixed exogenously) of one commodity of his choice. Can you predict from price and quantity data only (without directly using preferences or the utility function) which commodity he will use the coupon on? (Be careful: first consider the case where all goods are purchased in positive amounts, and then the case where goods are not purchased in positive amounts.)

(b) Suppose that the consumer is instead given a coupon that allows him to reduce the price of one of the commodities by a small amount per unit. Can you predict from price and quantity data only on which commodity he will use the coupon? Does your answer in this case depend on whether all goods are purchased in positive amounts?

(c) Suppose that the consumer is instead given a coupon that allows him to reduce the price of one of the commodities by a small percentage amount. Can you predict from price and quantity data only on which commodity he will use the coupon? Does your answer in this case depend on whether all goods are purchased in positive amounts?
8. If I have a linear utility function for two goods, and the slope of my Walrasian/Marshallian demand curve for the first good is $-1$ for some given values of $p_1$, $p_2$, and $w$, what can you say about the slope of my demand curve for the second good at these same values of $p_1$, $p_2$, and $w$?

9. Using budget lines and smooth, downward sloping, quasiconcave indifference curves in the $(x_1,x_2)$ plane, illustrate the Slutsky decomposition of the effect of a rise in the price of commodity one upon my Walrasian/Marshallian demand for commodity two, in a situation where commodity two is an inferior good. Give a verbal description/explanation of your illustration.

10. An individual maximizes a differentiable, strictly increasing utility function $U(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$. We do not know the utility function, nor can we directly measure the utility of any bundle, but we can observe how the consumer ranks any collection of bundles, and we can observe the consumer’s ranking of as many bundles as we wish.

In each case, either describe a test, or explain why no test could ever work:

(a) Is it possible to empirically test the hypothesis that, holding all other commodity levels constant, the marginal utility of commodity one diminishes as its consumption level increases?

(b) Is it possible to empirically test the hypothesis that, for any commodity levels, the marginal utility of commodity one plus the marginal utility of commodity two is always less than the marginal utility of commodity three?

**Production**

11. A firm has production function $q = \min \{x_1, 3x_2\}^{1/2}$ and faces output and input prices $p$, $w_1$, $w_2 > 0$.

(a) Draw the input requirement set for $q = 1$.

(b) Compute the firm’s conditional factor demands, $x_1(w_1,w_2,q)$ and $x_2(w_1,w_2,q)$.

(c) Compute the firm’s cost function, $c(w_1,w_2,q)$.

(d) Assuming that the firm is a competitor in its output market, so that $p$ is constant, compute its unconditional factor demands, $x_1(w_1,w_2,p)$ and $x_2(w_1,w_2,p)$; its supply function, $q(w_1,w_2,p)$; and its profit function, $\pi(w_1,w_2,p)$.

(e) Explain how you would compute the firm’s optimal factor demands, supply, and profit when it is a monopolist in its output market, with given inverse demand function $p = p(q)$.
12. If a production function $F(L,K)$ is Leontief, what can you say about the scale properties (e.g., constant returns? increasing returns?) of its total cost function $C(Q,w,r)$. Give a complete rigorous verbal answer, and do not write down any algebraic equations.

13. Could a production function have a long run expansion path which has, in part, a flat horizontal segment in the $(L,K)$ plane? If so, what would this imply about the relationship between STC and LTC? Be as specific as possible.

14. A profit-maximizing firm uses only two inputs, $x_1$ and $x_2$, to produce a single output, $q$. Let $w_1$ and $w_2$ be the prices of the two inputs. Suppose that the firm’s cost function is known to take the form $c(w_1,w_2,q) = a_0 + a_1 q + a_2 w_1 q + a_3 w_2 q + a_4 (w_1 w_2)^{1/2} q$, where the $a_i$ are parameters.

(a) What restrictions on the $a_i$ correspond to the assumption that the firm’s decisions are profit-maximizing? Explain. Does your answer depend on whether the profit-maximizing firm sells its output in a competitive market? Explain why or why not.

(b) What restrictions on the $a_i$ in addition to those from part a (if any) correspond to the requirement that the firm’s technology exhibits constant returns to scale? Explain.

(c) Under the restrictions on the $a_i$ imposed in (a) and (b), derive the firm’s conditional factor demand functions.

(d) Can you tell what the firm’s production function is from the conditional factor demand functions derived in (c)? If so, do so.