

Supplement to Lecture Notes for February 3, 2015, pages 3 and 4 (updated February 1, 2015)

Notation: Let  $b$  be a positive scalar, and let the notation  $[b]$  represent the smallest integer greater than or equal to the argument  $b$ .

We wish to show that  $0 \notin \Gamma$  where  $0$  is the zero vector in  $R^N$ . We will show that the possibility that  $0 \in \Gamma$  corresponds to the possibility of forming a blocking coalition against the core allocation  $\{x^{oi} | i \in H\}$ , a contradiction. The typical element of  $\Gamma$  can be represented as  $\sum_{i \in H} a_i z^i$ , where  $z^i \in \Gamma^i$ , and where  $r^i + z^i \succ_i x^{oi}$ ,  $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$ ,  $\sum_{i \in H} a_i = 1$ . We use a proof by contradiction, showing that the possibility  $0 \in \Gamma$  leads to the recognition that there is a coalition in Q-H, for Q sufficiently large, that blocks  $\{x^{oi} | i \in H\}$ . If that were to occur,  $\{x^{oi} | i \in H\}$  would not be a core allocation. Suppose, on the contrary, that that  $0 \in \Gamma$ . Then there are  $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$ ,  $\sum_{i \in H} a_i = 1$  and  $z^i \in \Gamma^i$  so that

$$\sum_{i \in H} a_i z^i = 0.$$

That is, for those  $i \in H$  so that  $a_i > 0$  there are  $x'^i \in X^i$  so that  $z^i = x'^i - r^i$  where  $x'^i = (r^i + z^i) \succ x^{oi}$  and  $0 = \sum a_i z^i = \sum a_i (x'^i - r^i)$ . We'll focus on the households of type  $i$  where  $a_i > 0$ , and on their preferred net trades  $z^i$ . Consider the  $k$ -fold replication of H and the hypothetical net trade for a household of type  $i$ ,  $\frac{ka_i}{[ka_i]} z^i$ . We have  $\frac{ka_i}{[ka_i]} z^i \rightarrow z^i$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, by (C.V, continuity) for  $k$  sufficiently large (and all larger values of  $k$ ),

$$\left[ r^i + \frac{ka_i}{[ka_i]} z^i \right] \succ_i x^{oi} \quad (\dagger)$$

Further,

$$\sum_{i \in H} [ka_i] \frac{ka_i}{[ka_i]} z^i = k \sum_{i \in H} a_i z^i = 0 \quad (\dagger).$$

It is now time to form a blocking coalition. We confine attention to those  $i \in H$  so that  $a_i > 0$ . The blocking coalition is formed by  $[\hat{k}a_i]$  households of type  $i$  where  $\hat{k}$  is the smallest integer so that  $(\dagger)$  is fulfilled for all  $i \in H$  where  $a_i > 0$ . Consider  $Q$  larger or equal to  $\hat{k}$ . Form the coalition  $S$  consisting of  $[\hat{k}a_i]$  households of type  $i$  for all  $i$  so that  $a_i > 0$ . The initial resource endowment of this coalition (summing over  $S$ ) is  $\sum [\hat{k}a_i] r^i$ . We need to find an allocation to  $S$ , attainable for  $S$ , that blocks the core allocation  $\{x^{oi} | i \in H\}$ . The blocking allocation to each household of type  $i$  is  $r^i + \frac{\hat{k}a_i}{[ka_i]} z^i$ . Summing this allocation over  $S$ , we have

$$\sum [\hat{k}a_i] \left\{ r^i + \frac{\hat{k}a_i}{[ka_i]} z^i \right\} = \sum [\hat{k}a_i] r^i + \sum [\hat{k}a_i] \frac{\hat{k}a_i}{[ka_i]} z^i = \sum [\hat{k}a_i] r^i.$$

The last equality follows from expression  $(\dagger)$ , and it demonstrates that the allocation is attainable to  $S$ . The allocation  $r^i + \frac{\hat{k}a_i}{[ka_i]} z^i$  is attainable to the coalition  $S$  and it is preferable to all members of the coalition by  $(\dagger)$ . Thus  $S$  blocks  $x^{oi}$  if  $0 \in \Gamma$ . The contradiction implies  $0 \notin \Gamma$ .