Introduction to term structure of interest rates

A. Basic concepts 1. Prices, yields, and logs Loan \$1 today, get (1 + r) next year r =annual yield $r = 0.05 \Rightarrow 5\%$ return Compounded quarterly: $(1 + r/4)^4$ Continuous compounding: $\lim_{m \to \infty} (1 + r/m)^m = e^r$ e.g. $e^{0.05} = 1.051$

Continuous compounding for *n* years: $e^r \cdot e^r \cdots e^r = e^{rm}$

Let P_{nt} = price today for security that promises \$1 with certainty at t + n $P_{0t} \equiv 1$ Called a pure discount bond

Continuously compounded return is characterized by value *r* for which $P_{nt}e^{nr} = 1$ $\log P_{nt} = -nr_{nt}$ $r_{nt} = -n^{-1}p_{nt}$ for p_{nt} log of bond price and r_{nt} the annual yield to maturity on the bond $p_{nt} \uparrow \Rightarrow r_{nt} \downarrow$

Introduction to term structure of interest rates

A. Basic concepts

- 1. Prices, yields, and logs
- 2. Holding period yield

My (continuously compounded) holding return is

 $p_{n-1,t+1} - p_{nt} = nr_{nt} - (n-1)r_{n-1,t+1}$ = $r_{nt} - (n-1)(r_{n-1,t+1} - r_{nt})$ If rates rise $(r_{n-1,t+1} > r_{nt})$, then I earn less than r_{nt} . Even though there is no default risk with the bond (it will be worth \$1 with certainty at t + n), there is interest-rate risk (I may earn more or less than r_{nt} if I hold for one year and then sell).

Introduction to term structure of interest rates

- A. Basic concepts
 - 1. Prices, yields, and logs
 - 2. Holding period yield
 - 3. Forward rate

Suppose I simultaneously sell \$1 in *n*-year bond (so I will have to pay $1/P_{nt}$ at t + n) and buy \$1 in (n + 1)-year bond (so I will receive $1/P_{n+1,t}$ at t + n + 1). No cash flow between t, t + 1, ..., t + n - 1.

I can thus lock in today a return on a 1-period bond that I will purchase at t + n $f_{nt} = n$ -year-ahead forward rate at t $f_{nt} = p_{nt} - p_{n+1,t}$

Introduction to term structure of interest rates

A. Basic concepts

- 1. Prices, yields, and logs
- 2. Holding period yield
- 3. Forward rate

11

4. Coupon bonds

12

Consider now a bond that will be worth \$1 at t + n but also pays a coupon of \$*C* at the end of t, t + 1, ..., t + n. Can think of this as a set of *n* different pure-discount bonds whose price today should be $CP_{1t} + CP_{2t} + \cdots CP_{nt} + P_{nt}$.

Introduction to term structure of interest rates

14

A. Basic concepts

- 1. Prices, yields, and logs
- 2. Holding period yield
- 3. Forward rate
- 4. Coupon bonds
- 5. Yield curve

13

15

17

Gürkaynak, Sack and Wright (JME, 2007) Suppose we conjecture that for given *t*, the forward rate f_{nt} is a smooth function of *n*: $f_{nt} = \beta_{0t} + \beta_{1t} \exp(-n/\tau_{1t})$ $+ \beta_{2t}(n/\tau_{1t}) \exp(-n/\tau_{1t})$ $+ \beta_{3t}(n/\tau_{2t}) \exp(-n/\tau_{2t})$ Different values of $(\beta_{0t}, \beta_{1t}, \beta_{2t}, \beta_{3t}, \tau_{1t}, \tau_{2t})$ for each *t* Recalling that $f_{nt} = p_{nt} - p_{n+1,t}$ and $p_{0t} = 0$, we know $p_{n+1,t} = -f_{nt} - f_{n-1,t} - \dots - f_{0t}$ so given $(\beta_{0t}, \beta_{1t}, \beta_{2t}, \beta_{3t}, \tau_{1t}, \tau_{2t})$ we could calculate predicted price of any bond and choose $(\beta_{0t}, \beta_{1t}, \beta_{2t}, \beta_{3t}, \tau_{1t}, \tau_{2t})$ to best fit observed bond prices at *t*.

Actually, GSW use instantaneous forward rates (I lend \$1 for one day beginning *n* years from now) instead of the one-year forward rates (I lend \$1 for one year beginning *n* years from now), in which case above formula is instead $p_{nt} = -\int_0^n f_{xt} dx$ which is known analytically. <figure><figure><figure><figure><figure><figure>







$$P_{nt} = e^{-r_{t}}E_{t}(P_{n-1,t+1})$$
Claim: this implies
$$P_{nt} = E_{t}\{\exp[-(r_{t} + r_{t+1} + \dots + r_{t+n-1})]\}$$
Proof: induction
(1) Holds for $n = 1$ by definition of r_{t} :
$$P_{1t} = E_{t}\{\exp[-r_{t}]\} = e^{-r_{t}}.$$
(2) If holds for $n - 1$, then
$$P_{nt} = e^{-r_{t}}E_{t}(P_{n-1,t+1})$$

$$= e^{-r_{t}}E_{t}[E_{t+1}\{\exp[-(r_{t+1} + \dots + r_{t+n-1})]\}]$$

$$= E_{t}[\{\exp[-(r_{t} + r_{t+1} + \dots + r_{t+n-1})]\}$$

$$P_{nt} = E_{t}[\{\exp[-(r_{t} + r_{t+1} + \dots + r_{t+n-1})]\}$$

Jensen's Inequality:
$$P_{nt} \ge \exp[-E_{t}(r_{t} + r_{t+1} + \dots + r_{t+n-1})]$$

e.g., if $\{r_{t+1}, \dots, r_{t+n-1}\}$ are Gaussian,
$$P_{nt} = \exp\left[E_{t}\left(-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_{t+j}\right) + (1/2)\operatorname{Var}_{t}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_{t+j}\right)\right]$$

$$p_{nt} = E_{t}\left(-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_{t+j}\right) + (1/2)\operatorname{Var}_{t}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_{t+j}\right)$$

$$p_{nt} = E_t \left(-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_{t+j} \right) + (1/2) \operatorname{Var}_t \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_{t+j} \right)$$

or since $p_{nt} = -nr_{nt}$,
 $r_{nt} = n^{-1} E_t \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_{t+j} \right) - (2n)^{-1} \operatorname{Var}_t \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_{t+j} \right)$

 $r_{nt} = n^{-1} E_t \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_{t+j} \right) - (2n)^{-1} \operatorname{Var}_t \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_{t+j} \right)$ Some researchers ignore the Jensen's Inequality term to interpret the Expectations Hypothesis of the term structure as $r_{nt} = n^{-1} E_t \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_{t+j} \right)$ or long rate is average expected future short rate (also called Log Pure Expectations Hypothesis).

26

Note that under LPEH, $p_{nt} = E_t \left(-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_{t+j} \right)$ $p_{n+1,t} = E_t \left(-\sum_{j=0}^n r_{t+j} \right)$ $f_{nt} = p_{nt} - p_{n+1,t} = E_t(r_{t+n})$ or forward rate is expected future short rate.

$$f_{nt} - f_{n,t-1} = \beta_{0n} + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \beta_{jn} (x_{jt} - \hat{x}_{jt}) + \varepsilon_{nt}$$

$$f_{nt} - f_{n,t-1} = \text{change in } n\text{-year instantaneous}$$

forward rate on day t

$$\hat{x}_{jt} = \text{market expectation of variable } j$$

$$x_{jt} = \text{actual released value}$$

Plot β_{jn} as a function of n

25

27





- Additional evidence: how does yield curve change on day of monetary policy
- Regress change in forward rate on the unanticipated change in Fed's target interest rate as inferred from fed funds futures



- Surprising feature: long-term forward rate moves in *opposite* direction of short-term policy
- Fed raises short rate today but implies lower expected future short rates if we assume expectations hypothesis

35

33

GSS's explanation: Fed's long-run inflation target π_t^* is continually evolving.

- Explains response to macro news:
 - Higher employment temporarily raises inflation
 - Market expects Fed will make this permanent
- Explains response to monetary policy shocks:
 - Fed tightening today signals lower long-run inflation

- Treasury Inflation Protected Securities (TIPS)
 - coupon and yield rise with CPI
 - Market measure of real return
- Findings:

37

39

- Real long forward rates do not respond to macro news, but expected inflation component does
- Real long forward rates do not fall after monetary contraction, but expected inflation component does

38

40

Introduction to term structure of interest rates

- A. Basic concepts
- B. Expectations hypothesis of the term structure
- C. Response of the yield curve to news
- D. Risk aversion and the term structure

Although expectations hypothesis is convenient, it does not fit the data.

(1) Term structure usually slopes up: investor better off with long maturity.(2) Excess holding yields are predictable.

LPEH: $r_{2t} = (1/2)[r_{1t} + E_t(r_{1,t+1})]$ $2r_{2t} - r_{1t} = E_t(r_{1,t+1})$ $E_t(r_{1,t+1}) - r_{2t} = r_{2t} - r_{1t}$ If 6-month yield is currently above 3-month ($r_{2t} - r_{1t} > 0$), then next quarter's 3-month yield is expected to be higher than current 6 month ($r_{1,t+1} - r_{2t} > 0$).

Opposite is usually observed





We obtained expectations hypothesis by assuming risk-neutral investor. Consider instead someone with objective

44

 $E_t\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\beta^j U(C_{t+j})\right\}$

Invest \$1 in some asset *k* whose current nominal price is Q_{kt} , sell next period for $Q_{k,t+1}$. Give up today $(1/P_t)$ units of C_t where P_t is the dollar price of consumption good: $cost = U'(C_t)/P_t$

Next period gain
$$Q_{k,t+1}/(Q_{kt}P_{t+1})$$

units of C_{t+1}
 $gain = E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta U'(C_{t+1})Q_{k,t+1}}{Q_{kt}P_{t+1}} \right\}$
Optimal choice by investor implies
 $\frac{U'(C_t)}{P_t} = E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta U'(C_{t+1})Q_{k,t+1}}{Q_{kt}P_{t+1}} \right\}$

$$\frac{U'(C_t)}{P_t} = E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta U'(C_{t+1})Q_{k,t+1}}{Q_{kt}P_{t+1}} \right\}$$

$$1 = E_t \left\{ \frac{M_{t+1}Q_{k,t+1}}{Q_{kt}} \right\}$$

$$M_{t+1} = \frac{\beta U'(C_{t+1})P_t}{U'(C_t)P_{t+1}}$$
for every asset k.

Applied to term structure of interest rates, price of *n*-period pure discount bond at *t* is P_{nt} and price of that asset at t + 1 is $P_{n-1,t+1}$: $1 = E_t \left\{ \frac{M_{t+1}P_{n-1,t+1}}{P_{nt}} \right\}$ for every maturity *n*. M_{t+1} is called "pricing kernel" or "stochastic discount factor"

$$1 = E_{t} \left\{ \frac{M_{t+1}P_{n-1,t+1}}{P_{nt}} \right\}$$

For $n = 1$,
$$1 = E_{t} \left\{ \frac{M_{t+1}}{P_{1t}} \right\} = e^{r_{t}} E_{t}(M_{t+1})$$
$$E_{t}(M_{t+1}) = e^{-r_{t}}.$$

Risk-neutral investors (expectations hypothesis) is special case where $M_{t+1} = e^{-r_t}$.

50

We derived the expression $1 = E_t \left\{ \frac{M_{t+1}P_{n-1,t+1}}{P_{nt}} \right\}$ by assuming a particular utility function (namely $E_t \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j U(C_{t+j}) \right\}$) which implied a particular value for M_{t+1} (namely $M_{t+1} = \frac{\beta U'(C_{t+1})P_t}{U'(C_t)P_{t+1}}$). Alternatively, one can also deduce that $1 = E_t \left\{ \frac{M_{t+1}P_{n-1,t+1}}{P_{nt}} \right\}$ from a simple absence-of-arbitrage argument. If the equation does not hold for some M_{t+1} a function of the date t + 1 state of the world, then there would be a way to buy some securities and sell others so as to generate positive cash flow at no cost.

Macro tradition: tries to look at particular model of investors to derive form for M_{t+1} . Finance tradition: takes as given that there is some M_{t+1} and tries to describe its properties.