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1. Property Rights and Economic Efficiency

- **Coase**: The distribution of property has no effect on efficiency if there are no impediments to contracting
  - E.g., When does it matter if the shepherd owns the flock? …the programmer owns the patent?
  - E.g., FDI: Why won’t Wall St. invest in Palestine? Can you get a business loan to develop a great idea?

- **Full Property Rights – i.e., no impediments to contracting**
  - Excludability – feasible and practical to selectively allow use; i.e., owner can protect against crime, taxation, expropriation. e.g., language, TV broadcasts are nonexcludable: cable TV is excludable - allows efficient investment
  - Transferability – feasible and practical to transfer ownership; e.g., contracting, inheritance. - allows efficient allocation
  - Rival – use by one person reduces usefulness to another; e.g., food is fully rival; - roads, radio signals, and internet access are mostly nonrival. - allows efficient separation of public from private sector control
Role of Government

• What goods are efficiently provided by govt?
2. Inheritance Under Ottoman Law

• Paradox (to a Westerner): Islam emphasizes the civil aspects of a marriage contract, but is traditionally vague about property rights.

• Property was frequently confiscated by government “all property belongs to God”

• Ottoman Feudal Lords typically had grants of land which lapsed at death (in payment of some service), in contrast to EU feudalism

• All children inherited (females with ½ shares), even in polygamous families.

• Coase: Fragmentation of assets wouldn’t matter if property rights were complete, but were they? e.g., splitting up the farm among the children.
3. Ottoman Business Law

- Existing firms were either sole proprietorships or partnerships - no corporations such as a company or a nonprofit (legal entity distinct from owners which can make internal rules, own property, make contracts, file legal claims, have limited liability)
- Partnerships and sole proprietorships ended at death of partner or owner, so no long term consolidation of wealth
- Ban on interest circumvented by small firms but blocked creation of large banks or insurers
- Christians and Jews have choice of law: begin to dominate services such as banking and insurance in 18th century
- Arbitrary changes in taxation, and even expropriation
Inheritance & Corporations - Implications

→ So… families seldom accumulated enough wealth to make large investments and exploit economies of scale,
- contracting did not allow much consolidation of wealth because of limitations on firm structure
- merchant class could not emerge as economic or political force
- led to anomaly of expatriate and minority strength in business *despite* discriminatory taxation

• These strong states and weak urban entrepreneurs set the stage for interventionist and activist states in the 20th century.
4. Waqf (Kuran ’01)

• Based on 8\textsuperscript{th} century interpretation of Q’uran: A Waqf is a nonprofit trust.  
  - protected from expropriation and even taxation because of its’ public service mission

• Waqf not expropriated or even taxed,  
  - even for a “family Waqf”

→ Allowed intergenerational transfer of assets
Waqf - significance

Became principal form of *public good provision* in Middle East: hospitals, poor support, education mosques.

Waqf became a large *landowner*
- By founding of Turkey (1929) ¾ of arable land was Waqf
- 1/8 of Egyptian arable land, 1/7 of Iranian in early 20th century, 1/3 of Tunisian land in 1883,
e.g. In 1552 the wife of Suleiman the Magnificent founded the Jerusalem Waqf which eventually possessed 26 villages (as well as shops, a bazaar, soap plants, bathhouses).
Waqf - problems

- Served many vital functions in its time, but had inefficiencies that worsened over time:
  - Tied to anachronistic mission, which must be honored, (e.g., institution for blind orphans)
  - Poor oversight, patronage (since beneficiaries do not own assets)
  - Crowded out government provision of services
  - Tied up capital
  - Crowded out more dynamic organizations, such as corporations, universities, or even local political parties, by raising barriers to entry?

- Note: Western trusts share these problems
- Coase: It only affects efficiency if contracting is limited.
5. Reform & Civil Society

- In 1000 Islamic Law and Institutions dominated the European system, where government was not providing social services and civil law lagged behind.

- But by 1800 most of Europe had surpassed the Ottomans in institutional flexibility: Universities, trade associations, corporations, municipalities, political parties, free press, had important roles in civil society.
5. Reform – Civil Society

- *Waqf replaced* by European style municipalities in 19th century (Istanbul 1856 was first)
  - funded services using taxes rather than donations
- *Secular law* based on European model *introduced* in mid 19th century throughout Ottoman Empire
- BUT when strong state governments emerged in 20th century secular institutions of civil society were unprepared to balance its’ power,
  - symptoms:
    a) corruption of govt. and large business
    b) religious monopoly on political opposition
  since no other opposition is safe from govt. repression