## Margins of Multinational Labor Substitution

Marc-Andreas Muendler<sup>¶</sup> UC San Diego, CESifo and NBER Sascha O. Becker Stirling, CESifo and IZA

October 29, 2009

This empirical supplement to Muendler and Becker (2009) presents complementary statistics and compares results across specifications in Muendler and Becker (2009) and beyond. The empirical analysis is based on the program package version 6 (fdiselct-%stata-v6-2009-10-29.zip, downloadable at http://econ.ucsd.edu/muendler/research).

<sup>¶</sup>muendler@ucsd.edu (econ.ucsd.edu/muendler), corresponding. Ph: +1(858)534-4799

### **1** Identifying Assumptions

The selection equation for location  $\ell$  (FDI presence at  $\ell$ ) is

$$d_{jt}^{\ell} = \mathbf{1} \left( H(\mathbf{z}_{j,t-\tau}) + \eta_{j,t-\tau}^{k} > 0 \right)$$

and, conditional on MNE j's selection of location  $\ell$ , expectations of the outcome (employment at  $\ell$ ) are

$$\mathbb{E}\left[y_{jt}^{\ell} \mid \mathbf{x}_{jt}^{\ell}, \mathbf{d}_{jt}, \mathbf{z}_{j,t-\tau}\right] = \mathbf{x}_{jt}^{\ell} \beta^{\ell} + \mathbb{E}\left[\epsilon_{jt}^{\ell} \mid d_{jt}^{1}, \dots, d_{jt}^{\ell} = 1, \dots, d_{jt}^{L}; \mathbf{z}_{j,t-\tau}\right],$$

where disturbances  $\epsilon_{jt}^{\ell}$  and  $\eta_{j,t-\tau}^{k}$  are uncorrelated across observations (of MNEs *i* and *j*, and between periods *t* and *t*+1).

Univariate normal selectivity correction Heckman (1979) is justified for multiple locations under the following assumption.

**Assumption 1** The disturbances  $(\epsilon_{jt}^k, \eta_{j,t-\tau}^k)$  are multivariate normally distributed (with variance  $\mathbb{V}ar(\eta_{j,t-\tau}^k) = 1$ ) and independent of  $\mathbf{x}_{jt}^m$  and  $\mathbf{z}_{j,t-\tau}$  for all  $k, \ell, m$ . In addition, either

- (a) the part of the selection shock that correlates with labor demand shocks is an MNEspecific disturbance and does not vary by location ( $\epsilon_{jt}^k$  and  $\eta_{j,t-\tau}^k$  correlate across locations  $k \neq \ell$  but in the same way as  $\epsilon_{jt}^\ell$  and  $\eta_{j,t-\tau}^k$ ), or
- (b) the labor-demand related part of the selection shock varies by location but is independent of labor demand shocks in other locations ( $\epsilon_{jt}^k$  and  $\eta_{j,t-\tau}^k$  are independent for  $k \neq \ell$ ),

for  $\ell, k = 1, ..., L$ .

Define the propensity score (the expected probability of selection conditional on  $\mathbf{z}_{j,t-\tau}$ ) as  $p_{jt}^{\ell} \equiv \mathbb{E}[d_{jt}^{\ell} | \mathbf{z}_{j,t-\tau}] = 1 - G(-H(\mathbf{z}_{j,t-\tau}))$ , where  $G(\cdot)$  is the cumulative distribution function of  $\eta_{j,t-\tau}^{k}$ . Consider the labor demand disturbance, conditional on selection, to be a smooth function of propensity scores or of the realized multinational location pattern or of both. Nonparametric estimation is based on the following assumptions similar to Das, Newey and Vella (2003).

#### Assumption 2

(i)  $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{jt}^{\ell} | d_{jt}^{\ell} = 1, \mathbf{d}_{jt}^{k\neq\ell}, \mathbf{z}_{j,t-\tau}] = m^{\ell}(p_{jt}^{\ell}, \mathbf{d}_{jt}^{k\neq\ell}),$ (ii)  $\Pr(\Delta \xi^{\ell}(\mathbf{x}_{jt}^{\ell}) + \Delta m^{\ell}(p_{jt}^{\ell}, \mathbf{d}_{jt}^{k\neq\ell}) = 0 | d_{jt}^{\ell} = 1) = 1$  implies that  $\Delta \xi^{\ell}(\mathbf{x}_{jt}^{\ell})$  is constant, (iii)  $\nabla_{\mathbf{z}_{j,t-\tau}} p_{jt}^{\ell} \neq \mathbf{0}$  with probability one, for  $\ell = 1, \dots, L$ .

#### Assumption 3

(i)  $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{jt}^{\ell} | d_{jt}^{\ell} = 1, \mathbf{z}_{j,t-\tau}] = m^{\ell}(\mathbf{p}_{jt}) \text{ and } \mathbb{C}ov(\epsilon_{jt}^{\ell}, \eta_{jt}^{k}) = 0 \text{ for } k \neq \ell,$ (ii)  $\Pr(\Delta \xi^{\ell}(\mathbf{x}_{jt}^{\ell}) + \Delta m^{\ell}(p_{jt}^{\ell}, \mathbf{d}_{jt}^{k\neq\ell}) = 0 | d_{jt}^{\ell} = 1) = 1 \text{ implies that } \Delta \xi^{\ell}(\mathbf{x}_{jt}^{\ell}) \text{ is constant,}$ (iii)  $\nabla_{\mathbf{z}_{j,t-\tau}} p_{jt}^{\ell} \neq \mathbf{0}$  with probability one, for  $\ell = 1, \ldots, L.$ 

We speak of semiparametric estimation under Assumption 3 when we use probitestimates of propensity scores (instead of nonparametric estimates).

Unless otherwise specified in table notes, we use third-order polynomials in wages, the log count of host countries, and competitors' host-country log market access (and linear terms for the remaining covariates in  $\mathbf{z}_{j,t-\tau}$ ) to estimate the propensity score  $p_{jt}^{\ell} = \mathbb{E}[d_{jt}^{\ell} | \mathbf{z}_{j,t-\tau}]$ . Similarly, unless otherwise specified, we use third-order polynomials in the propensity score(s) to estimate  $m^{\ell}(p_{jt}^{\ell}, \mathbf{d}_{jt}^{k\neq\ell})$  ( $m^{\ell}(\mathbf{p}_{jt})$ ). We cross-validate the goodness of fit to determine appropriate polynomial order in key regressions.

# 2 Sample Characteristics

Table 1	I: Market Share	s of German N	INES ABROAD	
	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Individual Gern	nan Affiliates Al	oroad	
Employment share	.0003 (.00003)	.0002 (.00002)	.00007 (.00002)	.0002 $(.00003)$
Obs. (affiliates)	922	728	516	$1,\!666$
Share in FDI stock	.001 (.0002)	.001 (.0001)	.0001 (.00003)	.0007 $(.0001)$
Obs. (affiliates)	829	546	487	$1,\!479$
	All Germa	n MNEs Abroad	l	
Employment share	.014 (.005)	.002(.0006)	.006 (.003)	.021 (.006)
Obs. (countries)	18	50	6	18
Share in FDI stock	.064 (.020)	.011 (.003)	.008 $(.005)$	.056 $(.020)$
Obs. (countries)	18	50	6	18

Sources: MIDI manufacturing parents and their majority-owned manufacturing affiliates in 2000, OECD and UNCTAD FDI stocks in 2000, ILO paid manufacturing employment in 2000.

*Notes*: Shares are location-wide averages over country-specific shares. Locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

		Parent Sector				
	Food &	Mach. &	Other			
Affiliate sector	Textiles	Eqpmt.	Manuf.	Total		
Agriculture & Mining		6	22	28		
Food & Textiles	316	3	18	337		
Mach. & Eqpmt.	3	1,852	83	1,938		
Other Manuf.	6	163	2,141	2,310		
Commerce	427	$2,\!540$	$1,\!836$	4,803		
Fin. & Bus. Services	68	642	487	$1,\!197$		
Other Services	5	27	56	88		
Total	825	$5,\!233$	4,643	10,701		

Table 2: Affiliate Em	APLOYMENT BY PARENT	AND AFFILIATE SECTOR
-----------------------	---------------------	----------------------

Source: MIDI manufacturing parents and their majority-owned affiliates in any sector worldwide in 2000.

Note: Employment in thousands.

	Parent Sector					
	Food &	Mach. &	Other			
Affiliate sector	Textiles	Eqpmt.	Manuf.	Total		
Agriculture & Mining			8	8		
Food & Textiles	138		4	142		
Mach. & Eqpmt.		327	15	342		
Other Manuf.	1	34	424	459		
Commerce	50	197	195	442		
Fin. & Bus. Services	4	31	30	65		
Other Services	2	1	8	11		
Total	195	590	684	1,469		

Table 3: C	CEE	Affiliate	Employment	BY	Parent	AND	Affiliate Sector

Source: MIDI manufacturing parents and their majority-owned CEE (Central and Eastern Europe) affiliates in any sector in 2000.

*Note*: Employment in thousands.

Country	Wage	Country	Wage
Fourth quartile		Second quartile	
United States of America	33,747	Tunisia	6,862
Germany	31,498	Mexico	$5,\!396$
Denmark	$30,\!275$	Panama	$5,\!173$
Luxembourg	30,001	Peru	4,913
Netherlands	29,793	Turkey	$4,\!639$
Belgium	$28,\!975$	Ecuador	4,319
Norway	28,734	Morocco	4,244
Austria	$27,\!846$	Malaysia	$4,\!183$
Japan	$26,\!447$	Colombia	4,099
United Kingdom	26,099	Costa Rica	3,788
France	$25,\!388$	Poland	$3,\!514$
Canada	$25,\!172$	Hungary	3,260
Finland	$23,\!815$	El Salvador	$3,\!250$
Sweden	22,711	Croatia	$3,\!182$
Italy	19,715	Iran, Islamic Republic of	2,783
Ireland	$19,\!172$	Venezuela	$2,\!606$
Third quartile		First quartile	
Spain	$19,\!108$	Macedonia	2,583
Australia	18,829	Philippines	$2,\!397$
Hong Kong	18,026	Bolivia	$2,\!137$
Singapore	$17,\!899$	$\operatorname{Egypt}$	$2,\!050$
New Zealand	16,024	Lithuania	$1,\!999$
Argentina	$13,\!994$	Pakistan	1,588
Korea, Republic of	$13,\!986$	Bulgaria	1,562
Greece	$13,\!416$	India	1,201
Taiwan	$12,\!355$	Indonesia	997
Malta	10,586	Romania	979
Chile	9,364	Sri Lanka	961
Brazil	$8,\!655$	Russian Federation	758
Portugal	8,491	Bangladesh	609
South Africa	7,983	Guatemala	382
Slovenia	7,775	Tanzania	333
Uruguay	7,537	Kenya	79

#### Table 4: Country Quartiles by Manufacturing Wage

*Sources*: UNIDO manufacturing wages in 1996 (ratios of wage bills by number of workers and employees). *Notes*: Annual figures in 1998 EUR equivalents, deflated with country-level CPIs (re-based to unity in 1998) and transformed from foreign-currency values to EUR at December 1998 exchange rate to remove fluctuations. By 2001, Germany ranks sixth after the Netherlands, Norway, Luxembourg, the United States, and Denmark in UNIDO manufacturing wages.

	Wage			Wage	
Country	ratio	Quartile	Country	ratio	Quartile
Kenya	48.98	1	Japan	1.61	4
Russian Federation	9.77	1	Czech Republic	1.60	2
Portugal	4.50	3	Korea, Republic of	1.55	3
Peru	4.17	2	Indonesia	1.53	1
India	3.84	1	Italy	1.50	4
Philippines	3.44	2	France	1.47	4
Turkey	2.83	2	Sweden	1.43	4
Columbia	2.67	2	Hungary	1.42	2
Latvia	2.26	1	Mexico	1.41	3
Croatia	2.23	3	Germany	1.38	4
Brazil	2.18	3	Singapore	1.37	3
Sri Lanka	2.08	1	Canada	1.36	4
Malaysia	2.03	2	Australia	1.29	4
Poland	1.97	2	Netherlands	1.28	4
Ireland	1.88	3	Spain	1.27	4
Greece	1.79	3	Finland	1.26	4
Argentina	1.77	3	United Kingdom	1.16	4
Austria	1.70	4	Taiwan	.98	3
Slovak Republic	1.70	2	Denmark	.96	4
South Africa	1.64	3	Zimbabwe	.94	1
Norway	1.61	4	United States of America	.94	4

Table 5: LOG WAGE PREMIA AT SWEDISH MNES

Sources: UNIDO manufacturing wages in 1996 and 1998, and IUI paid wages at Swedish MNEs in 1998 (paid wages are wage bills divided by employment).

*Notes*: Annual wage figures in 1998 EUR equivalents, transformed from foreign-currency values to EUR at December 1998 exchange rate. Wage premia are the log of the ratio of paid wages at Swedish MNEs over UNIDO manufacturing wages in 1998. Quartiles according to UNIDO manufacturing wage ranking in 1996 (see Table 4). IUI data courtesy of Karolina Ekholm.

## 3 Entry and Exit Statistics

	Table 6: LOCATION COUNTS BY MNE							
			L in 2000			Total		
L in 1996	1	2	3	4	5	(100%)		
1	0.0%	83.5%	12.2%	2.6%	1.6%	794		
2	34.7%	$83.7\%\ 54.7\%$	$12.5\%\ 8.2\%$	${3.2\%} \ {2.1\%}$	$0.6\% \\ 0.4\%$	$687 \\ 1,052$		
3	28.0%	$23.7\%\ 17.1\%$	$55.8\%\ 40.2\%$	15.8% 11.4%	4.7% 3.4%	$\begin{array}{c} 190 \\ 264 \end{array}$		
4	24.2%	${11.1\% \atop {8.4\%}}$	$25.0\% \\ 19.0\%$	45.8% 34.7%	18.1% <i>13.7%</i>	$72\\95$		
5	35.7%	$7.4\% \ 4.8\%$	$3.7\% \ 2.4\%$	$22.2\%\ 14.3\%$	$rac{66.7\%}{42.9\%}$	27 42		
Total	477	$630 \\ 1,293$	$\begin{array}{c} 211\\ 308 \end{array}$	91 112	$\begin{array}{c} 44 \\ 57 \end{array}$	9762,247		

Source: MIDI universe 1996 and 2000 (not matched to USTAN), manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates.

*Notes*: MNEs with foreign presence in 1996 and 2000 (large entries), and MNEs with foreign presence in one or both years (small entries). Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 1. MINE COUNTS OF CHANGING AFFILIATE NUMBERS							
	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU	MNE Total		
$N_{2000} - N_{1996}$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
$\leq -3$	2	3	2	15	22		
-2	3	11	3	14	31		
-1	6	17	11	64	98		
0	186	131	145	397	859		
+1	25	32	20	72	149		
+2	11	11	4	16	42		
+3	2	6	4	10	22		
$\geq +4$	7	11	4	14	36		
MNE Total	242	222	193	602	1,259		
$ar{N}_{2000}$	1.49	2.38	1.56	1.96			
$-\bar{N}_{1996}$	1.41	2.28	1.50	2.01			

Table 7: MNE COUNTS OF CHANGING AFFILIATE NUMBERS

*Sources*: MIDI universe 1996 and 2000 (not matched to USTAN). MNEs with regional presence of at least one affiliate in 1996; manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates.

*Notes*: Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe). Median number of affiliates by MNE, location and year: 1.

Table	8: MNE COUNTS	OF EXCESS	S AFFILIATE	E ID ADDIT	IONS
	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU	MNE Total
$N_{2000} - N_{1996}$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Relative chang	ges: $EN_{2000,2}$	$1996/N_{2000}$		
0	.085	.106	.050	.082	
	Absolute chan	ges: $EN_{2000}$	,1996		
0	.097	.191	.076	.139	6,600
+1	1.014	1.029	1.042	1.061	1,106
+2	2.036	2.021	2.000	2.061	199
+3	3.143	3.381	3.167	3.189	78
+4	4.167	4.200	4.500	4.067	28
other (-,+)					976
MNE Total	2,247	2,247	2,247	2,247	8,988

### Table 8: MNE COUNTS OF EXCESS AFFILIATE ID ADDITIONS

*Sources*: MIDI universe 1996 and 2000 (not matched to USTAN). MNEs with regional presence in at least one country in 1996; manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates.

Notes: Excess affiliate ID changes are defined as:  $EN_{j,t,t-\tau}^k \equiv N_{jt}^k - \sum_{i(jk)} \mathbf{1}(i \in \mathcal{I}(jk,t) \land i \in \mathcal{I}(jk,t-\tau))$ , where  $N_{jt}^k$  is the total number of affiliates of MNE j in location k and year t, and  $\mathcal{I}(jk,t)$  is the set of MNE j's affiliates in location k at time t. MNEs are grouped by counts of their added affiliates in location k between  $t-\tau$  and t. Locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU	MNE Total		
$C_{2000} - C_{1996}$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
$\leq -3$	1	3		4	8		
-2	1	3	1	10	15		
-1	4	19	9	59	91		
0	202	136	170	439	947		
+1	25	38	12	59	134		
+2	6	12	1	21	40		
+3		3		7	10		
$\geq +4$	3	8		3	14		
MNE Total	242	222	193	602	1,259		
$ar{C}_{2000}$	1.25	1.93	1.18	1.61			
$ar{C}_{1996}$	1.22	1.91	1.18	1.63			

 Table 9: MNE COUNTS OF CHANGING HOST COUNTRY NUMBERS

*Sources*: MIDI universe 1996 and 2000 (not matched to USTAN). MNEs with regional presence in at least one country in 1996; manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates.

*Notes*: Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe). Median number of countries by MNE, location and year: 1.

Tak	ole 10: MNE COUN	NTS OF EXC	ESS COUNT	ry Additio	NS
	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU	MNE Total
$C_{2000} - C_{1996}$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Relative chang	ges: $EC_{2000,1}$	$_{.996}/N_{2000}$		
0	.042	.072	.000	.042	
	Absolute chan	ges: $EC_{2000}$ ,	1996		
0	.059	.132	.000	.064	$6,\!688$
+1	1.000	1.049	1.000	1.026	$1,\!146$
+2	2.000	2.048	2.000	2.000	173
+3	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000	51
+4	4.000	4.143	4.000	4.000	18
other (-,+)					912
MNE Total	2,247	2,247	2,247	2,247	8,988

*Sources*: MIDI universe 1996 and 2000 (not matched to USTAN). MNEs with regional presence in at least one country in 1996; manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates.

Notes: Excess country changes are defined as:  $EC_{j,t,t-\tau}^k \equiv C_{jt}^k - \sum_{c(jk)} \mathbf{1} (c \in \mathcal{C}(jk,t) \land c \in \mathcal{C}(jk,t-\tau)),$ where  $C_{jt}^k$  is the total number of countries of MNE j in location k and year t, and  $\mathcal{C}(jk,t)$  is the set of MNE j's chosen countries in location k at time t. MNEs are grouped by counts of additional countries in location k between  $t-\tau$  and t. Locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Current presence $(t = 2000)$	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
Past presence $(t - \tau = 1996)$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Indic.: FDI in CEE (1996)	$1.427 \\ (.116)^{***}$	058 (.127)	035 (.137)	265 (.114)**
Indic.: FDI in DEV (1996)	326 (.134)**	$1.481$ $(.120)^{***}$	.058 (.138)	290 (.120)**
Indic.: FDI in OIN (1996)	102 (.128)	.294 (.125)**	$1.714$ $(.124)^{***}$	013 (.119)
Indic.: FDI in WEU (1996)	524 (.100)***	148 (.105)	313 (.114)***	$1.109 \\ (.092)^{***}$
Const.	525 (.072)***	$-1.069$ $(.081)^{***}$	$-1.156$ $(.084)^{***}$	441 (.071)***
Obs.	867	867	867	867
Pseudo $R^2$	.180	.193	.249	.133

Table 11: PRESENCE PREDICTIONS IN CROSS-SECTIONAL PROBIT REGRESSIONS

Sources: MIDI 1996 and 2000, manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates.

Notes: Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Foreign locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Current presence $(2000)$	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Sunk entry cost: $\gamma_N$ (1996)	.525***	1.069***	1.156***	.441***
Sunk exit cost: $\gamma_X$ (1996) Hysteresis band: $\gamma_N + \gamma_X$ (1996)	.902*** 1.427***	.412*** 1.481***	.558*** 1.714***	$.668^{***}$ $1.109^{***}$
Marginal effect of hysteresis band (1996)	.518***	.512***	.561***	.421***

Table 12: SUNK ENTRY AND EXIT COSTS AT FOUR-YEAR HORIZON

Sources: MIDI 1996 and 2000, 867 manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates.

Notes: Estimates are probit coefficients from Table 11. Significance levels from  $\chi^2$  tests: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. . Foreign locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Current presence $(t)$	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
Past presence $(t-2)$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
FDI in CEE $(t-2)$	$2.112 \\ (.060)^{***}$	181 (.067)***	131 (.071)*	290 (.058)***
FDI in DEV $(t-2)$	169 (.069)**	$2.200 \\ (.063)^{***}$	.124 (.070)*	156 (.061)**
FDI in OIN $(t-2)$	149 (.071)**	$.146$ $(.069)^{**}$	$2.274$ $(.066)^{***}$	140 (.063)**
FDI in WEU $(t-2)$	461 (.056)***	220 (.059)***	310 (.062)***	$1.760 \\ (.051)^{***}$
Const.	872 (.044)***	$-1.241$ $(.049)^{***}$	$-1.319$ $(.050)^{***}$	707 (.042)***
Obs.	3,392	3,392	3,392	3,392

 Table 13: PRESENCE PREDICTIONS IN PAST-PRESENCE PROBIT REGRESSION

Sources: MIDI 1996 to 2001, pooled sample of manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates with two-year selection lags ( $\tau = 2$ ).

*Notes*: Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Current presence $(t)$	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Sunk entry cost: $\gamma_N (t-2)$	$.872^{***}$ (.044)	$1.241^{***}$ (.049)	$1.319^{***} \\ \scriptstyle (.050)$	$.707^{***}$ (.042)
Sunk exit cost: $\gamma_X (t-2)$	$1.240^{***}$ (.291)	$.959^{***}$ (.225)	.954*** (.224)	1.053*** (.247)
Hysteresis band: $\gamma_N + \gamma_X (t-2)$	$2.112^{***} \\ (.060)$	$2.200^{***}$ (.063)	$2.274^{***}$ (.066)	$1.760^{***}$ (.051)
Marginal effect of hysteresis band $(t-2)$	.704*** (.015)	.710*** (.016)	.714*** (.017)	.621*** (.014)

Sources: MIDI 1996 to 2001, 3,392 pooled observations of manufacturing MNEs and their majorityowned foreign manufacturing affiliates with two-year selection lags ( $\tau = 2$ ).

*Notes*: Estimates are probit coefficients from Table 13. Significance levels from  $\chi^2$  tests. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

### 4 UNIDO Wages

4.1 MNE Panel 1998-2001 with 2-year Prior Location Selection (1996-1999)

Table 15: SAMPLE MEANS OF VARIABLES					
	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
$(t: 1998-2001, t-\tau: 1996-99)$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Indic.: Presence in $t$	1	.378	.323	.300	.702
Indic.: Presence in $t-\tau$	1	.351	.298	.283	.706
Propensity score for $t$		.334	.288	.261	.612
Selectivity hazard for $t$		1.445	1.550	1.690	.807
MNE-wide regressors (Labor demand e	stimatio	n)			
Wage bill share $(t)$	.791	.067	.050	.171	.192
ln Fixed assets $(t)$	17.267	14.893	15.112	15.804	15.281
ln Turnover (t)	18.449	15.936	16.511	17.281	17.071
$\ln \text{ Wage } (t)$	10.360	8.286	8.654	10.317	10.098
Competitor-average regressors (Selection	n estima	ation)			
ln sample-mean Wage $(t-\tau)$	10.428	8.278	8.708	10.348	10.076
Comp.s' hosts' ln Market access $(t-\tau)$	11.211	10.501	12.595	12.758	11.526
Comp.s' hosts' skill share $<$ Home $(t-\tau)$	20.121	18.918	22.301	22.455	20.677
Comp.s' hosts' skill share $\geq$ Home $(t-\tau)$	41.988	38.962	47.854	49.371	43.271
Comp.s' hosts' distance $(t-\tau)$	31.606	29.445	35.811	36.369	32.548
Comp.s' hosts' ln Cons. p.c. $(t-\tau)$	30.389	28.559	33.904	34.373	31.183
Parent-firm regressors (Selection estimation	ation)				
Indic.: Headquarters West Germany $(t\!-\!\tau)$	.973	.964	.974	.970	.975
ln Count of host countries $(t-\tau)$	1.138	1.331	1.637	1.475	1.263
Employment $(t-\tau)$	$2,\!101$	$3,\!492$	4,942	$3,\!691$	2,204
Fixed assets $(t-\tau)$ [million]	239.3	451.6	637.1	499.7	273.1
Turnover $(t-\tau)$ [million]	500.8	876.8	$1,\!176.8$	842.9	504.9
Intm. inputs $(t-\tau)$ [million]	287.3	527.8	678.4	460.7	270.2
Liability $(t-\tau)$ [million]	280.0	504.8	701.0	522.0	297.1
MNE-wide interaction terms (Selection	estimat	ion)			
FDI in CEE $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages CEE	1.371	3.487	1.311	1.214	1.057
FDI in DEV $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages DEV	1.826	1.991	5.395	2.674	1.924
FDI in OIN $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages OIN	8.825	7.680	13.026	27.112	8.072
FDI in WEU $(t-\tau) \times \text{Comp.s'}$ wages WEU	16.799	13.284	17.580	15.589	22.949
Parent observations	$1,\!654$	616	463	496	1,104

Table 15: SAMPLE M	EANS OF VARIABLES
--------------------	-------------------

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages), censored (second-stage) estimation sample of 1,640 MNEs.

*Notes*: Averages of MNE variables are conditional on presence. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Disturbance Correlations (lags)	<u>CEE</u> (1)	DEV (2)	OIN (3)	WEU (4)
independent	1405.0	1420.8	1260.9	1898.9
AR(1)	1623.6	1678.5	1333.0	2036.5
AR(2)	1565.9	1555.4	1309.4	2061.2
stationary(2)	1567.6	1555.1	1307.2	2068.6

Table 16: QUASI-LIKELIHOOD INFORMATION CRITERION FOR GEE-PROBIT

Sources: MIDI 1996 to 2001, pooled sample of manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates with two-year selection lags ( $\tau = 2$ ).

*Notes*: GEE with probit link function (regressors as in Tables 18 and 19), quasi-likelihood using Pan's (2001) extension of Akaike's information criterion. Locations: Home (omitted), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 17: QUASI-LIKELIHOOD INFORMATION CRITERION AND CROSS-VALIDATION FOR NONPARAMETRIC SPECIFICATIONS

	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Selection: Cross validation				
2nd-order Polynomials <sup><math>a</math></sup>	.0788	.0832	.0725	.1181
3rd-order Polynomials <sup><i>a</i></sup>	.0883	.0933	.0849	.1218
Selection: Counts of significant wag	ge effects	(10% level,	F tests)	
2nd-order Polynomials <sup><math>a</math></sup>	1	0	0	0
3rd-order Polynomials <sup><i>a</i></sup>	2	2	1	1
Selection: <i>QIC</i> of GEE-Gaussian estimates	rror corre	elations (lags	3)	
independent	1410.4	1451.4	1287.2	1926.0
AR(1)	1576.9	1669.7	1455.9	2075.4
AR(2)	1501.7	1553.7	1347.7	2054.1
stationary(2)	1491.7	1558.1	1354.2	2078.6
Labor demand (translog): Cross va	lidation ı	under Assum	ption 2	
2nd-order Polynomials <sup><math>b</math></sup>	116103.8	229323.0	209829.6	229766.8
3rd-order Polynomials <sup>b</sup>	116423.2	230084.8	210342.5	229966.8
Labor demand (translog): Cross va	lidation ı	under Assum	ption 3	
2nd-order Polynomials <sup><math>c</math></sup>	111926.3	232633.7	204792.9	223185.5
3rd-order Polynomials <sup>c</sup>	113159.1	227814.5	201248.7	223730.4

Sources: MIDI 1996 to 2001, pooled sample of manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates with two-year selection lags ( $\tau = 2$ ).

*Notes*: Baseline regressors as in Table 20. GEE of second-order polynomial specification with Gaussian link function for tests of error correlation structure, using Pan's (2001) quasi-likelihood extension of Akaike's information criterion (*QIC*). Locations: Home (omitted), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

<sup>a</sup>Polynomials in Wages, In Count of host countries, Competitors' hosts' In Market access.

<sup>b</sup>Polynomials of location-specific propensity score (Ass. 2).

<sup>c</sup>Polynomials of location-specific propensity score (Ass. 3).

Table 18: Marginal Effects in Probit Regression				
Presence $(t)$	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
Predictors $(t-2)$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
FDI in CEE $(t-\tau)$	.609 (.234)***	$\begin{array}{c} .222 \\ (.275) \end{array}$	.430 (.298)	388 (.287)
FDI in DEV $(t-\tau)$	$.015 \\ (.110)$	.740 (.129)***	099 (.072)	093 (.150)
FDI in OIN $(t-\tau)$	307 (.413)	571 (.323)*	067 (.478)	076 (1.046)
FDI in WEU $(t-\tau)$	.309 (.202)	.133 (.287)	$.087 \\ (.252)$	$.987$ $(.016)^{***}$
Home sector wage	.0008 $(.004)$	.003 $(.004)$	$.007$ $(.003)^{**}$	$.013$ $(.007)^{*}$
Competitors' wages CEE	053 $(.054)$	016 (.045)	.002 $(.040)$	094 (.058)
Competitors' wages OIN	004 (.014)	5.74e-06 (.016)	$026$ $(.015)^{*}$	.032 $(.020)$
$\text{FDI}^a$ in loc. × Home sector wage	.002 $(.005)$	003 (.004)	015 (.004)***	015 (.007)**
FDI in CEE $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages CEE	$.035 \\ (.065)$	068 (.057)	087 (.051)*	.099 $(.082)$
FDI in OIN $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages OIN	$.013 \\ (.027)$	.036 (.026)	$.035 \\ (.019)^{*}$	.001 (.033)
In Count of host countries	$.068$ $(.039)^{*}$	$.131$ $(.035)^{***}$	$.057$ $(.028)^{**}$	$.158$ $(.054)^{***}$
Employment $(t - \tau)$ [thsd]	$.019$ $(.009)^{**}$	$.022$ $(.008)^{***}$	.005(.006)	017 (.017)
Turnover $(t - \tau)$ [billion]	012 (.064)	$.016 \\ (.051)$	$.057$ $(.029)^{*}$	$.933$ $(.230)^{***}$
Intm. inputs $(t\!-\! au)$ [billion]	$.016 \\ (.073)$	064 (.059)	085 (.037)**	$-1.086$ $(.272)^{***}$
Liability $(t\!-\! au)$ [billion]	173 (.073)**	073 (.071)	006 (.053)	362 (.122)***
Obs. Pseudo $R^2$	$2,\!459$ .551	$2,459 \\ .519$	$2,\!459$ .546	$2,459 \\ .452$

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages), pooled sample of manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates with two-year selection lags ( $\tau = 2$ ).

*Notes*: Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Further regressors (not significantly different from zero at five percent level in any location): Competitors' wages DEV and WEU and their interactions with FDI presence in DEV and WEU, Competitors' hosts ln Market access, Indic. Headquarters West Germany, Fixed assets, Competitors' hosts skill share < Home, Competitors' hosts skill share  $\geq$  Home, Competitors' hosts distance, Competitors' hosts ln Cons. per capita. Without wage-presence interactions, past presence has a marginal effect of .779 (standard error .022) in CEE, .671 (.027) in DEV, .713 (.026) in OIN, and .747 (.020) in WEU. Locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

<sup>*a*</sup>FDI presence in regression location.

Table 19: Marginal Effects in GE	E-Probit	WITH AR(	2) Distur	BANCES
Presence $(t)$	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
Predictors $(t-2)$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
FDI in CEE $(t-\tau)$	.906 (.094)***	.028 (.242)	.727 (.232)***	588 (.224)***
FDI in DEV $(t-\tau)$	140 (.121)	$.577$ $(.202)^{***}$	086 (.103)	025 (.160)
FDI in OIN $(t-\tau)$	.812 (.560)	073 (.746)	186 (.424)	.540 (.387)
FDI in WEU $(t-\tau)$	$.193 \\ (.370)$	$.335 \\ (.258)$	184 (.494)	$.990$ $(.013)^{***}$
Home sector wage	.0004 (.007)	.005 $(.004)$	.005 $(.004)$	002 (.010)
Competitors' wages CEE	.007 $(.053)$	.012 (.039)	$.069$ $(.040)^{*}$	118 (.052)**
Competitors' wages OIN	.056 (.020)***	.002 (.016)	015 (.018)	$.076$ $(.023)^{***}$
$FDI^a$ in loc. × Home sector wage	.003 (.006)	004 (.005)	$015$ $(.005)^{***}$	005 (.007)
FDI in CEE $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages CEE	122 (.070)*	034 (.059)	154 (.058)***	$.139$ $(.071)^{*}$
FDI in OIN $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages OIN	029 (.033)	.0005 $(.027)$	.044 (.023)*	028 (.032)
ln Host count $(t-\tau)$	$.124$ $(.051)^{**}$	$.213$ $(.045)^{***}$	$.035 \\ (.039)$	$.107$ $(.060)^{*}$
Competitors' hosts ln Market access	$.137 \\ (.115)$	.113 $(.084)$	$195$ $(.091)^{**}$	088 (.114)
Employment $(t-\tau)$ [thsd]	.019 (.015)	$.043$ $(.012)^{***}$	.005 $(.008)$	016 (.021)
Turnover $(t - \tau)$ [billion]	056 (.128)	.045 $(.051)$	.048 $(.036)$	$.893$ $(.247)^{***}$
Intm. inputs $(t - \tau)$ [billion]	.068 $(.140)$	198 (.068)***	108 (.050)**	-1.102 (.296)***
Liability $(t-\tau)$ [billion]	207 (.088)**	.006 $(.079)$	$.083 \\ (.063)$	199 (.110)*
Obs.	1,891	1,891	1,891	1,891

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages), pooled sample of manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates with two-year selection lags ( $\tau = 2$ ). Notes: GEE with probit link function and AR(2) disturbances. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Further regressors (not reported): Competitors' wages DEV and WEU and their interactions with FDI presence in DEV and WEU, Competitors' hosts ln Market access, Indic. Headquarters West Germany, Fixed assets, Competitors' hosts skill share < Home, Competitors' hosts skill share  $\geq$  Home, Competitors' hosts distance, Competitors' hosts ln Cons. per capita, sector-level Intermediate imports, Final-goods imports and Exports in CEE and WEU. Locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

<sup>*a*</sup>FDI presence in regression location.

Table 20. MARGINAL EFFECTS IN				
Predictors $(t-2)$ Presence $(t)$	$\frac{\text{CEE}}{(1)}$	DEV	OIN	WEU
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
FDI in CEE $(t-\tau)$	.634	.110	.201	158
	$(.144)^{***}$	(.148)	(.138)	(.184)
FDI in DEV $(t-\tau)$	047	.340	079	010
	(.087)	$(.115)^{***}$	(.083)	(.107)
FDI in OIN $(t-\tau)$	.022	.042	.054	.281
	(.551)	(.564)	(.551)	(.685)
FDI in WEU $(t-\tau)$	.186	033	033	1.229
	(.221)	(.215)	(.203)	$(.259)^{***}$
Series terms involving wages: <i>p</i> -values from	m $F$ tests			
Home sector wage terms		.030	.007	.094
Competitors' CEE wage terms				
Competitors' DEV wage terms				
Competitors' OIN wage terms	.005	.103		
Competitors' WEU wage terms	.056	.105		
Competitors who wage terms	.050			
Employment $(t-\tau)$ [thsd]	.014	.011	009	015
	$(.006)^{**}$	$(.006)^{*}$	(.006)	$(.008)^{**}$
Turnover $(t - \tau)$ [billion]	.004	.078	.251	.415
	(.061)	(.062)	$(.059)^{***}$	$(.075)^{***}$
Intm. inputs $(t-\tau)$ [billion]	003	140	303	444
	(.068)	$(.070)^{**}$	$(.066)^{***}$	$(.085)^{***}$
Liability $(t - \tau)$ [billion]	137	026	.004	179
	$(.046)^{***}$	(.047)	(.044)	$(.056)^{***}$
Competitors' hosts ln Cons. p.c. $(t-\tau)$	.079	.013	010	.023
	$(.030)^{***}$	(.031)	(.029)	(.037)
Obs.	2,459	$2,\!459$	$2,\!459$	$2,\!459$
$R^2$	.662	.617	.630	.553

- Iabie 20, MARGINAL EFFECTS IN NONTARAMETRIC I RODADILITI MODEL	Table 20:	MARGINAL	EFFECTS IN	NONPARAMETRIC	PROBABILITY MODEL
--	-----------	----------	------------	---------------	-------------------

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages), pooled sample of manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates with two-year selection lags ( $\tau = 2$ ). Notes: Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Third-order polynomials in Wages, ln Count of host countries, Competitors' hosts' ln Market access. Further regressors (not significantly different from zero at five percent level in any location): Interactions of competitors' wages with FDI presence, ln Host count, Competitors' hosts ln Market access, Indic. Headquarters West Germany, Competitors' hosts skill share, Competitors' hosts distance. Without wage-presence interactions, past presence has a marginal effect of .759 (standard error .018) in CEE, .668 (.020) in DEV, .711 (.017) in OIN, and .707 (.024) in WEU. Locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 21:	MARGINAL	Effects	IN	Nonparametric	Probability	Model	WITH
Second-0	order Poly	NOMIALS					

	Presence $(t)$	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
Predictors $(t-2)$		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
FDI in CEE $(t-\tau)$		$.627$ $(.141)^{***}$	.083 $(.143)$	.146 (.134)	168 (.177)
FDI in DEV $(t-\tau)$		$.027 \\ (.075)$	$.373$ $(.108)^{***}$	031 (.072)	.018 (.092)
FDI in OIN $(t-\tau)$		$.357 \\ (.503)$	559 (.515)	$.618 \\ (.496)$	185 (.621)
FDI in WEU $(t-\tau)$		.348 (.207)*	119 (.203)	.063 (.190)	$1.224$ $(.243)^{***}$
$FDI^a$ in loc. × Home sector w	age	$.003 \\ (.003)$	$.010 \\ (.003)^{***}$	012 (.003)***	006 (.003)*
FDI in WEU $(t-\tau) \times \text{Comp.s}$	s' wages WEU	015 (.009)*	.003(.009)	004 (.008)	013 (.011)

Second-order series terms involving wages: p-values from F tests

Home sector wage terms

Competitors' CEE wage terms

Competitors' DEV wage terms

Competitors' OIN wage terms

Competitors' WEU wage terms

Employment $(t-\tau)$ [thsd]	$.014$ $(.005)^{***}$	$.012$ $(.005)^{**}$	.004 (.004)	.003 (.006)
Fixed assets $(t-\tau)$ [billion]	$.049$ $(.024)^{**}$	016 (.024)	033 (.023)	.012 (.029)
Turnover $(t - \tau)$ [billion]	0005 (.029)	.007 (.030)	$.062$ $(.028)^{**}$	$.134$ $(.036)^{***}$
Intm. inputs $(t\!-\! au)$ [billion]	010 (.034)	034 (.035)	091 (.033)***	$138$ $(.042)^{***}$
Liability $(t\!-\! au)$ [billion]	110 (.041)***	026 (.042)	.006 (.040)	134 (.051)***
Obs. $R^2$	$2,\!459$ .644	$2,459 \\ .597$	$2,\!459$ .614	$2,\!459$ $.535$

.020

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages), pooled sample of manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates with two-year selection lags ( $\tau = 2$ ).

Notes: Second-order polynomials in Wages, In Count of host countries, Competitors' hosts' In Market access. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Further regressors (not significantly different from zero at five percent level in any location): Interactions of competitors' wages in CEE/DEV/OIN with FDI presence in CEE/DEV/OIN, In Host count, Competitors' hosts In Market access, Indic. Headquarters West Germany, Fixed assets, Competitors' hosts skill share, Competitors' hosts distance. Without wage-presence interactions, past presence has a marginal effect of .759 (standard error .018) in CEE, .668 (.020) in DEV, .711 (.017) in OIN, and .707 (.024) in WEU. Locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe), DEV

 $^{a}$ FDI presence in regression location.

Tab	le 22: Translog	g Cost Parame'	TER ESTIMATES	
Employment in: <sup><math>a</math></sup>	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$\mathbf{Par}$ In Wages <sup>a</sup>	rametric Selectiv	vity Correction (	Assumption 1)	
НОМ	.001 (.0006)	013 (.001)***	$.027$ $(.008)^{***}$	$.054$ $(.006)^{***}$
CEE	$.001$ $(.0005)^{**}$	008 (.0001)***	.008 (.00004)***	002 (.00006)***
DEV	008 (.0003)***	$.011$ $(.001)^{***}$	.009 (.0001)***	.0006 (.0001)***
OIN	.008 (.0004)***	.009 (.0008)***	086 (.008)***	.043 (.002)***
WEU	002 (.0005)***	.0006 (.0006)	.043 (.001)***	095 (.006)***
Selectivity hazard	$\begin{array}{c} 12.058 \\ \scriptscriptstyle (11.923) \end{array}$	$24.432 \\ (13.443)^*$	-19.821 (11.606)*	$35.824 \\ (14.625)^{**}$
$R^2$	.977	.975	.969	.948
	parametric Select	tivity Correction	(Assumption 3	)
$\ln Wages^a$				
НОМ	.001 (.0006)**	008 (.001)***	$.023$ $(.007)^{***}$	$.059$ $(.006)^{***}$
CEE	0008 (.0005)	006 (.0003)***	$.007$ $(.0004)^{***}$	002 (.0005)***
DEV	006 (.0003)***	.010 (.001)***	$.007$ $(.0007)^{***}$	004 (.0007)***
OIN	$.007$ $(.0004)^{***}$	$.007$ $(.0007)^{***}$	079 (.008)***	$.042$ $(.002)^{***}$
WEU	002 (.0005)***	004 (.0007)***	.042 (.002)***	096 (.005)***
Series terms				
$\chi^2$ tests ( <i>p</i> -value)	495.52 (.000)	246.04 (.000)	151.17 (.000)	244.62 (.000)
$R^2$	.979	.977	.974	.959

*Notes*: Stacked observations of 1,654 MNEs. Further regressors: In Turnover, In Fixed assets, In MNE wage residuals, Absence indicators, Transformed constant (in parametric selectivity regression). Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Standard errors corrected for first-stage estimation of selectivity hazards (hence not symmetric on restricted coefficients). Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

<sup>a</sup>Transformed wage-bill shares and regressors.

Table 23: CROSS-WAGE ELASTICITIES UNDER PARAMETRIC SELECTIVITY

			Wage change (by $1\%$ ) in						
Employment		HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU			
change	(%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
HOM	intensive	307**	.026***	003	.085	$.198^{***}$			
CEE	intensive only extensive only	.820*** .794***	932*** -1.029***	288*** .021	.365*** .041	$.035 \\ .084$			
DEV	intensive only extensive only	157 .857***	514*** 149	179 988***	.679*** .362	$.171 \\ .437$			
OIN	intensive only extensive only	1.303 .629***	$.179^{***}$ .169	.186*** .009	-2.630** 157	.961*** .052			
WEU	intensive only extensive only	$1.205^{***}$ .838^{***}	.007 098	$.019 \\ .057^{*}$	.383*** .574	-1.614*** 880***			

*Notes*: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 1,654 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 1, Tables 18 and 22). Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 24: CROSS-WAGE ELASTICITIES UNDER PARAMETRIC SELECTIVITY AND AR(2) DISTURBANCES

		Wage change (by $1\%$ ) in						
Emplo	0	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU		
change	e(%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
HOM	intensive	300**	.026***	003	.084	.194***		
CEE	intensive only extensive only	.797*** .792***	925*** -1.007***	290*** .017	.388*** .441	.030 010		
DEV	intensive only extensive only	145 .863	518*** .028	182 -1.012***	.676** .087	.169 .216		
OIN	intensive only extensive only	$1.281 \\ .748^{***}$	$.190^{***}$ 051	.186** 002	-2.582** 830**	.925** .063		
WEU	intensive only extensive only	$1.178^{***}$ .635**	.006 207	.018 016	$.368^{**}$ $1.731^{**}$	-1.570*** -1.179***		

*Notes*: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 1,654 stacked MNE observations. Underlying selection estimation with GEE and probit link function allowing for AR(2) disturbances. Underlying labor demand estimates from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 1, Table 19). Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 25: CROSS-WAGE ELASTICITIES UNDER PARAMETRIC SELECTIVITY WITH MNE LOG WAGE PREMIA AS ADDITIONAL CONTROLS

		Wage change (by $1\%$ ) in						
Employment		HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU		
change	e (%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
HOM	intensive	278**	.026***	001	.121	.132**		
CEE	intensive only extensive only	.798*** .759***	977*** 879***	145** .001	.240** .069	.085 .208		
DEV	intensive only extensive only	055 .761***	258** .072	309 985***	.417* 033	$.206 \\ .045$		
OIN	intensive only extensive only	1.841 .726***	$.117^{***}$ .077	$.114^{*}$ .012	-3.182** 662	$1.110^{***}$ .101		
WEU	intensive only extensive only	.806** .846***	.017 116	.022 $.064^{**}$	.442*** .655**	-1.287*** 882***		

*Notes*: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 1,654 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 1), including log differences between Swedish MNE wages and UNIDO wages as additional controls. Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 26: CROSS-WAGE ELASTICITIES UNDER PARAMETRIC SELECTIVITY WITH LEAD OUTPUTS AS ADDITIONAL CONTROLS

			Wage			
Employment		HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
change	e (%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
HOM	intensive	341***	.026***	.002	.097	.216***
CEE	intensive only extensive only	.805*** .797***	897*** -1.040***	281*** .023	.327*** .039	$.046 \\ .075$
DEV	intensive only extensive only	.107 .797***	502*** 012	463 986***	.775*** .117	$.083 \\ .194$
OIN	intensive only extensive only	1.481 .718***	$.160^{***}$ .085	.213*** .012	-2.814*** 620	.960*** .097
WEU	intensive only extensive only	1.313*** .823***	.009 065	.009 .046	.382*** .434	-1.713*** 878***

*Notes*: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 1,654 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 1), including lead outputs as additional controls. Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 27: CROSS-WAGE ELASTICITIES UNDER PARAMETRIC SELECTIVITY WITH LAGGED EMPLOYMENTS AS ADDITIONAL CONTROLS

		Wage change (by $1\%$ ) in					
Employment		HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU	
change	e(%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
HOM	intensive	307***	.027***	005	.111	.175***	
CEE	intensive only extensive only	.842*** .783***	982*** 983***	226*** .015	$.314^{***}$ .050	$.052 \\ .122$	
DEV	intensive only extensive only	292 .828***	404*** 082	273 987***	.846** .242	.122 .318	
OIN	intensive only extensive only	$1.688 \\ .643^{***}$	.154*** .156	.232** .009	-3.199*** 227	$1.124^{***}$ .059	
WEU	intensive only extensive only	1.063*** .820***	.010 059	.013 .044	.448*** .409	-1.534*** 877***	

*Notes*: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 1,654 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 1), including lagged employments as additional controls. Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

#### Table 28: CROSS-WAGE ELASTICITIES UNDER PARAMETRIC SELECTIVITY AND OUT-COME ESTIMATION WITH FIRM FIXED-EFFECTS

		Wage change (by $1\%$ ) in					
Emplo	-	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU	
change	e (%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
HOM	intensive	564***	.025***	.011***	.216***	.312***	
CEE	intensive only extensive only	.776*** .782***	-1.007*** 979***	$.017$ $.015^{***}$	.031 $.050^{***}$	.183*** .125***	
DEV	intensive only extensive only	.597*** .785***	$.030 \\ .017$	-1.165*** 986***	.222 .066	.316*** .143***	
OIN	intensive only extensive only	$3.303^{***}$ $1.041^{***}$	.015 220	$.061^{*}$ .023	-4.031*** -2.301***	$.652 \\ .259$	
WEU	intensive only extensive only	1.896*** .802***	.036*** 021	.035*** .030***	.259 .247**	-2.226*** 875***	

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages).

Notes: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 1,654 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 1), conditioning on equation-specific firm-fixed effects. Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 29: Cross-wage Elasticities under Parametric Selectivity for MNEs in Horizontal-FDI Industries

		Wage change (by $1\%$ ) in					
Employment		HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU	
change	e(%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
HOM	intensive	606***	$.036^{*}$	.037	.193***	.340***	
CEE	intensive only extensive only	.638* .713***	939** 918***	249 .002	.454 051	$.097 \\ .151$	
DEV	intensive only extensive only	$1.701 \\ .758^{***}$	643 .166	.257 990***	-1.277 493	037 .007	
OIN	intensive only extensive only	$4.173^{***}$ .889 <sup>***</sup>	.548 098	598 .026	-2.931* -1.202***	-1.192 .274*	
WEU	intensive only extensive only	$1.812^{***}$ .734^{***}	$.029 \\ .002$	004 .024*	295 .063	-1.542*** 828***	

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages), industries with no significant intra-firm trade (horizontal FDI) as in Harrison and McMillan (2006).

*Notes*: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 560 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 1, Table 22). Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 30: Cross-wage Elasticities under Parametric Selectivity for MNEs in Vertical-FDI Industries

		Wage change (by $1\%$ ) in					
Employment change (%) in		HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
HOM	intensive	153	.008	004	.007	.142	
CEE	intensive only	.393	612***	406***	.466***	.160	
	extensive only	.796***	938***	.006	.074	.118	
DEV	intensive only	230	510***	136	.806**	.069	
	extensive only	.784***	024	-1.002***	190	.259	
OIN	intensive only	.089	$.126^{***}$	.174**	-1.342	.953***	
	extensive only	.810***	.244	044	142	.010	
WEU	intensive only	.928	.021	.007	.466***	-1.423**	
	extensive only	.869***	023	024	.314	848***	

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages), industries with significant intra-firm trade (vertical FDI) as in Harrison and McMillan (2006).

*Notes*: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 1,094 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 1, Table 22). Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 31: MORISHIMA	Elasticities	UNDER	PARAM	ETRIC	Selectivity
			/-		

		Wage	e change (by 1	%) in	
Employment	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
change $(\%)$ in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
HOM intensive		$1.127^{***}$ (.245)	.150 (.489)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.610 \\ (1.307) \end{array}$	$1.512^{***}$ (.505)
CEE intensive only extensive only	$.959^{***}$ $1.029^{***}$		.418** .880***	$1.111^{***}$ $1.197^{***}$	.939*** .931***
DEV intensive only extensive only	$.176$ $.988^{***}$	109 1.009***		.366 .997***	$.198 \\ 1.045^{***}$
OIN intensive only extensive only	$2.715^{**}$ .157	$2.995^{***}$ .198	$3.309^{***}$ .519		3.012*** .731
WEU intensive only extensive only	1.812*** .880***	1.649*** .964***	$1.785^{***}$ $1.317^{***}$	2.575*** .932**	

*Notes*: Morishima (1967) elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 1,654 stacked MNE observations. Blackorby and Russell (1981) show that Morishima elasticities preserve Hicks' (1932) notion that the isoquant curvature completely characterizes the elasticity of substitution between two factors. Allen-Uzawa elasticities Allen (1938), Uzawa (1962) fail in this respect when there are more than two inputs. Underlying labor demand estimates from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 1, Table 22). Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 32: CROSS-WAGE ELASTICITIES UNDER NONPARAMETRIC SELECTIVITY (Assumption 2)

	Wage change (by $1\%$ ) in					
Employment	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU	
change (%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
HOM intensive	314***	.026***	.004	.081	.203***	
CEE intensive only	$.811^{***}$	$-1.003^{***}$	$218^{***}$	$.336^{***}$	$.073 \\ -17.689$	
extensive only	-14.751	13.678	4.655	17.198		
DEV intensive only	.200	$388^{***}$	179	$.517^{**}$	$150 \\ -25.234$	
extensive only	-22.711	21.740	4.743	24.818		
OIN intensive only	$1.243 \\ 9.772$	$.165^{***}$	$.142^{**}$	-2.541**	$.991^{***}$	
extensive only		- $8.989$	-5.623	-10.824	9.871	
WEU <i>intensive</i> only	$1.234^{***}$	$\begin{array}{c} .014\\ 3.602 \end{array}$	016	$.395^{***}$	$-1.627^{***}$	
extensive only	-2.771		1.328	3.852	-4.688	

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages).

*Notes*: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 1,654 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from nonparametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 2). Standard errors inferred from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 33: CROSS-WAGE ELASTICITIES UNDER NONPARAMETRIC SELECTIVITY (AS-SUMPTION 3)

		Wage change (by $1\%$ ) in					
Employment	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU		
change (%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
HOM intensive	317***	.027***	.004	.081	.204***		
CEE intensive only extensive only	$.834^{***}$ -12.840	$-1.006^{***}$ 12.163	208*** 3.395	$.317^{***}$ 15.654	.064 -16.033		
DEV intensive only extensive only	.245 -25.420	$372^{***}$ 25.270	261 13.151	$.525^{**}$ 29.104	138 -30.947		
OIN intensive only extensive only	$1.240 \\ -9.269$	$.155^{***}$ 9.847	$.144^{**}$ 7.786	$-2.494^{***}$ 7.792	.955*** -9.904		
WEU <i>intensive only</i> extensive only	$1.244^{***}$ 4.401	$.012 \\ -3.750$	015 -3.548	$.380^{***}$ -4.183	$-1.622^{***}$ 2.962		

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages).

Notes: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 1,654 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from nonparametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 3, Table 22). Standard errors inferred from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

HOM Employment	SI	NGLE HO	M EQUATI	ON	Equation system		
at the intensive margin	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
ln Wages							
НОМ	921 (.020)***	524 (.042)***	520 (.051)***	554 (.052)***	$333$ $(.071)^{***}$	307 (.131)**	
CEE	003 (.003)	003 (.005)	$.045 \\ (.033)$	$.038 \\ (.033)$	$.030$ $(.004)^{***}$	.026 (.005)***	
DEV	.009 (.004)**	$.003 \\ (.005)$	$.113$ $(.025)^{***}$	$.103$ $(.025)^{***}$	.005 $(.006)$	003 (.008)	
OIN	$.007$ $(.003)^{**}$	$.012$ $(.004)^{***}$	.027 $(.029)$	.021 (.029)	$.093$ $(.049)^{*}$	.085 $(.076)$	
WEU	0009 (.003)	$.015$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.018 \\ (.026)$	.028 $(.026)$	.204 (.035)***	$.198$ $(.063)^{***}$	
Specification							
ln Turnover HOM	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
ln Turnover CEE-WEU			yes	yes	yes	yes	
ln Capital HOM-WEU			yes	yes	yes	yes	
Past pres. indic. CEE-WE	EU			yes	yes	yes	
Extensive-margin control						yes	
MNE fixed effect		yes	yes	yes			
Obs.	$2,\!141$	$2,\!141$	$2,\!141$	$2,\!141$	$2,\!141$	$1,\!654$	

#### Table 34: SINGLE- AND MULTIPLE-EQUATION LABOR DEMAND ESTIMATION

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages).

*Notes*: Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. FE regressions are firm-fixed effects regressions. Not reported: Turnover, Capital Stocks, Past presence indicators, and Constant. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 35: Single- and Multiple-Equation Estimation of the Intensive Mar	,—
GIN	

HOM employment		SINGLE HOM EQUATION			
intensive margin	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
ln Wages					
НОМ	950 (.018)***	993 (.022)***	-1.011 (.022)***	991 (.024)***	$333$ $(.071)^{***}$
CEE	021 (.020)	.024 (.033)	.042 (.033)	.043 (.033)	$.030$ $(.004)^{***}$
DEV				$.072$ $(.024)^{***}$	.005 (.006)
OIN				033 (.038)	$.093$ $(.049)^{*}$
WEU	009 (.007)	.047 (.020)**	$.075$ $(.021)^{***}$	$.092$ $(.022)^{***}$	.204 (.035)***
ln Turnover					
HOM HOM-WEU	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
ln <i>Capital</i> HOM-WEU			yes	yes	yes
Obs.	2,141	2,141	2,141	2,141	2,141

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages).

*Notes*: Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. All specifications include current presence or absence indicators (referred to as MNE-location FE by Konings and Murphy 2006). Not reported: Turnover, Capital Stocks, Current presence indicators, and Constant. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 36: Cross-wage Elasticities for Uncorrected Intensive-margin Estimates

			Wage change (by $1\%$ ) in					
Emplo	-	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU		
change	e(%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
HOM	intensive	333***	.030***	.005	$.093^{*}$	.204***		
CEE	intensive	.858***	-1.044***	125***	.261***	.051		
DEV	intensive	.274	250***	659**	.514**	.121		
OIN	intensive	$1.529^{*}$	.150***	.149**	-2.962***	$1.134^{***}$		
WEU	intensive	$1.315^{***}$	.012	.014	.444***	-1.785***		

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages).

*Notes*: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 2,141 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from uncorrected ISUR estimation. Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

# Table 37:Relative Difference between Uncorrected and CorrectedIntensive-margin Estimates

			Ţ	Wage change i	in	
residence an	fference in em-	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
ployment e	ffect estimates	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
НОМ	intensive	.084 (.730)	.146 (.342)	-2.692 (3.693)	$.093 \\ (1.636)$	.032 $(.937)$
CEE	intensive	.047 (.295)	.122 (.157)	566(.959)	286 (1.648)	$.465 \\ (11.089)$
DEV	intensive	-2.728 (3.775)	515 (1.048)	2.777 (28.138)	243 (9.451)	281 (8.282)
OIN	intensive	.175 (1.829)	160 (1.872)	204 (9.370)	$.126 \\ (15.514)$	$.178 \\ (38.094)$
WEU	intensive	.092 (.997)	.697 (12.662)	255 (8.491)	$.160 \\ (36.193)$	$.107 \\ (14.208)$

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages).

*Notes*: The relative difference between elasticities at the intensive margin from uncorrected ISUR estimation and from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimation (Assumption 1, Table 22) is the difference between the uncorrected and the selectivity-corrected elasticity estimate, divided by the selectivity-corrected estimate. There are 2,141 stacked MNE observations for uncorrected ISUR and 1,654 for selectivity-corrected ISUR estimation. Standard errors from 200 bootstraps over both estimators: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

### 4.2 MNE Cross-Section 2000 with 1996 Location Selection

Table 38: MEANS OF VARIABLES								
(	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU			
$(t: 2000, t-\tau: 1996)$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
Indic.: Presence in 00	1.000	.396	.365	.316	.736			
Indic.: Presence in 96	1.000	.319	.288	.294	.730			
Propensity score for 00		.322	.309	.256	.593			
Selectivity hazard for 00		1.431	1.480	1.819	.870			
MNE-wide regressors (Labor demand	d estimat	tion)						
Wage bill share 00	.773	.067	.054	.192	.195			
ln Fixed assets 00	17.423	15.004	15.278	16.087	15.387			
ln Turnover 00	18.576	16.095	16.733	17.552	17.179			
ln Wage 00	10.333	8.299	8.680	10.324	10.106			
Competitor-average regressors (Selec	ction esti	mation)						
ln sample-mean Wage 96	10.403	8.246	8.691	10.377	10.069			
Comp.s' hosts ln Market access	11.708	11.460	13.362	13.453	12.015			
Comp.s' hosts skill share $<$ Home 96	21.953	21.627	24.833	24.950	22.513			
Comp.s' hosts skill share $\geq$ Home 96	43.423	41.906	50.505	51.232	44.546			
Comp.s' hosts distance 96	33.085	32.332	38.154	38.455	34.007			
Comp.s' hosts ln Cons. p.c. 96	31.461	30.765	35.656	35.923	32.227			
Parent-firm regressors (Selection est	imation)							
Indic.: Headquarters West Germany 96	.975	.969	.970	.980	.978			
ln Count of host countries 96	1.146	1.311	1.587	1.416	1.239			
Employment $(t-\tau)$	$2,\!392$	$3,\!973$	5,224	$4,\!145$	$2,\!434$			
Fixed assets $(t-\tau)$ [million]	253.6	469.1	606.2	497.5	275.7			
Turnover $(t-\tau)$ [million]	520.7	912.4	$1,\!109.2$	895.7	510.8			
Intm. inputs $(t-\tau)$ [million]	296.3	532.0	611.6	482.8	274.6			
Liability $(t-\tau)$ [million]	290.1	522.6	669.0	517.4	291.1			
MNE-wide interaction terms (Selection estimation)								
FDI in CEE 96 $\times$ Comp.s' wages CEE	1.218	2.872	1.351	1.224	1.035			
FDI in DEV 96 $\times$ Comp.s' wages DEV	1.799	1.903	4.821	2.394	1.751			
FDI in OIN 96 $\times$ Comp.s' wages OIN	9.439	8.550	12.401	25.778	8.521			
FDI in WEU 96 $\times$ Comp.s' wages WEU	17.300	14.767	18.064	14.823	22.213			
Parent observations	326	128	101	102	226			

Table 38:MEANS OF VARIABLES

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 and 2000, censored (second-stage) estimation sample of 322 MNEs. Notes: Cost function observations in 2000, location selection observations four years prior to production (1996). Locations: Home (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

			Wage change (by $1\%$ ) in					
Emplo	•	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU		
change	e (%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
HOM	intensive	537**	.029	.009	.301	.198**		
CEE	intensive only extensive only	.834 .789***	831* 781	137 014	175 .621	.309 .036		
DEV	intensive only extensive only	.400 .783***	212 .190	573 950***	.890 008	505 034		
OIN	intensive only extensive only	3.811 .578***	076 .346	.249 086	-4.752** 770	$.769 \\ .357$		
WEU	intensive only extensive only	$1.117^{**}$ .843***	$.060 \\ .167$	063 .040	$.342 \\ .205$	-1.456*** 795**		

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 and 2000.

*Notes*: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 326 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 1). Standard errors inferred from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

## 4.3 Comparisons and Counterfactual Evaluations

	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Overall differential	.095	.090	.961	.786
Wage differentials by Home sector				
Food products and beverages	.090	.085	.909	.743
Textile and leather products	.131	.124	1.319	1.080
Wood, pulp and paper products	.104	.099	1.054	.863
Chemicals, rubber and plastic	.079	.075	.799	.654
Mineral and metal products	.096	.091	.971	.795
Machinery and equipment	.082	.078	.825	.675
Transport equipment	.072	.068	.726	.594
Other manufacturing	.117	.111	1.184	.969

Table 40: WAGE DIFFERENTIALS BY FOREIGN LOCATION AND HOME SECTOR

Source: UNIDO INDSTAT3 2005 (ISIC Rev.2), deflated to 12/31/98 with country CPIs and currency converted.

*Notes*: Ratios between German sectoral wages and MIDI MNE-employment weighted averages of foreign country medians over 3-digit level sectors (ISIC Rev.2). Locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 41:	Employment	AT	German	MNES	IN	2000
-----------	------------	----	--------	------	----	------

	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Employment	$1,\!423,\!086^{a}$	245,721	$332,\!622$	319,221	394,579
Estimation sample employment	962,726	$125,\!199$	$184,\!560$	$139,\!240$	$191,\!854$
Mean employment per sample MNE	$1,\!629.0$	387.6	407.4	736.7	282.6

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001, manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates.

*Notes*: Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

<sup>*a*</sup>Predicted German employment at in- and out-of-sample MNEs, based on linear employment regressions to account for incomplete MIDI-USTAN matches.

#### Table 42: Counterfactual Employment Effects of a One-percent Reduction in the Home-Foreign Wage Gap

	Permanent wage gap reduction by one percent between Home and					
Employment effect	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU		
on margin	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
Home <sup><math>a</math></sup> total	$374 \ (75)^{***}$	-40 (116)	1,214 (1077)	$2,820 \ (901)^{***}$		
Foreign <sup><math>b</math></sup> extensive	$^{-1,951}_{(107)^{***}}$	-2,850 (326)***	-2,008 (706)***	-3,306 (284)***		
Foreign <sup><math>b</math></sup> total	-2,046 (394)***	$\begin{array}{c} 271 \\ (1560) \end{array}$	-3,673 (3794)	-4,979 (1574)***		

*Sources*: Own calculations based on selectivity corrected translog estimates for 1,654 German manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates in MIDI and USTAN between 1996 and 2001 (UNIDO wages).

*Notes*: Point estimates from parametric selectivity correction (Assumption 1, Table 23) multiplied by employment in 2000 (Table 41). Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Home (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

<sup>a</sup>Gap reducing foreign wage increases (by one percent).

<sup>b</sup>Gap reducing home wage reduction (by one percent).

### 4.4 MNE Panel 1998-2001 with 2-year Prior Location Selection (1996-1999), Affiliates in Any Sector

	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU		
$(t: 1998-2001, t-\tau: 1996-99)$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
Indic.: Presence in $t$	1.000	.351	.317	.436	.795		
Indic.: Presence in $t-\tau$	1.000	.315	.275	.418	.796		
Selectivity hazard for 00		1.477	1.549	1.312	.596		
MNE-wide regressors (Labor demand es	stimation	n)					
Wage bill share $(t)$	.809	.051	.042	.120	.158		
ln Fixed assets $(t)$	16.932	14.377	14.238	14.329	14.324		
ln Turnover (t)	18.262	15.874	16.350	16.654	16.792		
$\ln \text{ Wage } (t)$	10.361	8.294	8.913	10.302	10.123		
Competitor-average regressors (Selection	n estima	tion)					
ln sample-mean Wage $(t-\tau)$	10.420	8.274	8.787	10.339	10.092		
Comp.s' hosts' ln Market access $(t-\tau)$	18.205	18.247	20.337	19.305	18.258		
Comp.s' hosts skill share $<$ Home $(t-\tau)$	34.928	34.453	38.501	37.135	35.100		
Comp.s' hosts skill share $\geq$ Home $(t-\tau)$	66.309	68.001	74.882	69.761	66.215		
Comp.s' hosts distance $(t-\tau)$	50.712	50.867	57.205	54.035	50.890		
Comp.s' hosts ln Cons. p.c. $(t-\tau)$	51.648	51.592	57.159	54.624	51.769		
Parent-firm regressors (Selection estima	tion)						
Indic.: Headquarters West Germany $(t-\tau)$	.976	.959	.982	.979	.981		
ln Count of host countries $(t-\tau)$	1.363	1.713	2.034	1.716	1.494		
Employment $(t-\tau)$	$1,\!601$	2,948	$3,\!961$	$2,\!665$	1,566		
Fixed assets $(t-\tau)$ [million]	172.2	366.8	480.6	324.1	174.4		
Turnover $(t-\tau)$ [million]	390.7	749.2	950.4	615.2	349.1		
Intm. inputs $(t-\tau)$ [million]	220.2	433.3	557.6	353.2	186.9		
Liability $(t-\tau)$ [million]	211.4	422.2	553.8	365.8	200.1		
MNE-wide interaction terms (Selection estimation)							
FDI in CEE $(t - \tau) \times $ Comp.s' wages CEE	1.233	3.389	1.727	1.236	1.065		
FDI in DEV $(t - \tau) \times $ Comp.s' wages DEV	1.804	2.578	5.559	2.645	1.911		
FDI in OIN $(t - \tau) \times$ Comp.s' wages OIN	12.889	12.661	19.443	28.208	12.356		
FDI in WEU $(t - \tau) \times \text{Comp.s'}$ wages WEU	19.236	17.427	20.840	18.567	23.587		
Parent observations	$2,\!527$	871	706	$1,\!055$	$1,\!950$		

#### Table 43: SAMPLE MEANS OF VARIABLES

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages and foreign affiliates in any sector), censored (second-stage) estimation sample of 2,527 MNEs.

*Notes*: Averages of MNE variables are conditional on presence. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

CE-WAGE INTERACTIONS				
Presence $(t)$	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
Predictors $(t-2)$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
FDI in CEE $(t-\tau)$	.552 (.227)**	.089 (.236)	202 (.211)	432 (.256)*
FDI in DEV $(t-\tau)$	121 (.075)	$.570$ $(.157)^{***}$	179 (.093)*	142 (.124)
FDI in OIN $(t-\tau)$	.908 (.223)***	467 (.357)	$.735 \\ (.481)$	$\begin{array}{c} .276 \\ \scriptscriptstyle (.576) \end{array}$
FDI in WEU $(t-\tau)$	479 (.523)	.127 $(.347)$	$.380 \\ (.240)$	$.339 \\ (.649)$
Home sector wage	005 (.003)	001 (.003)	.004 (.004)	$.012$ $(.005)^{**}$
Competitors' wages DEV	003 (.006)	.0009 (.008)	001 (.009)	014 (.007)*
Competitors' wages OIN	.004 (.012)	016 (.013)	010 (.017)	$.030$ $(.014)^{**}$
FDI <sup><i>a</i></sup> in loc. × Home sector wage	.004 (.003)	.003 $(.003)$	010 (.004)***	$010$ $(.005)^{**}$
FDI in DEV $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages DEV	.014 $(.014)$	003 (.012)	$.023 \\ (.018)$	.007 (.017)
FDI in OIN $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages OIN	033 (.019)*	.020 (.018)	.012 $(.022)$	011 (.022)
In Count of host countries	$.097$ $(.024)^{***}$	.107 (.022)***	$.117$ $(.027)^{***}$	$.176$ $(.030)^{***}$
Employment $(t-\tau)$ [thsd]	$.012$ $(.006)^{**}$	$.021$ $(.007)^{***}$	$.017$ $(.008)^{**}$	$.027$ $(.008)^{***}$
Liability $(t-\tau)$ [billion]	101 (.064)	002 (.078)	035 (.087)	$170$ $(.090)^{*}$
Competitors' hosts ln Cons. p.c. $(t\!-\!\tau)$	006 (.010)	001 (.010)	.005 $(.012)$	019 (.011)*
Obs. Pseudo $R^2$	$3,\!683$ .543	3,683 .528	3,683 .558	$3,\!683$ .416

Table 44: MARGINAL EFFECTS IN LONG PROBIT REGRESSION WITHOUT PRESENCE-WAGE INTERACTIONS

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages), pooled sample of manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign affiliates in any sector with two-year selection lags ( $\tau = 2$ ).

*Notes*: Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Further regressors (not significantly different from zero at five percent level in any location): Competitors' wages CEE/WEU and interactions of competitors' wages in CEE/WEU with FDI presence in CEE/WEU, Competitors' hosts ln Market access, Indic. Headquarters West Germany, Fixed assets, Turnover, Intm. inputs, Competitors' hosts skill share, Competitors' hosts distance. Locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

<sup>*a*</sup>FDI presence in regression location.

### Table 45: CROSS-WAGE ELASTICITIES UNDER PARAMETRIC SELECTIVITY FOR FOR-EIGN AFFILIATES IN ANY SECTOR

			Wage	e change (by	1%) in	
Emplo	0	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
change	e (%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
HOM	intensive	496***	.022***	.013	.191***	.269***
CEE	intensive only extensive only	$1.018^{***}$ $.651^{***}$	$-1.053^{***}$ $-1.055^{***}$	231** .015	.280** 329	015 .159
DEV	intensive only extensive only	.913 .726***	344** 832*	.121 988***	$675 \\ -1.093$	016 $2.561^{**}$
OIN	intensive only extensive only	3.042*** .805***	$.097^{**}$ .131	157 001	-3.469*** 869**	.487** .016
WEU	intensive only extensive only	1.767*** .847***	002 .099	002 019	.201** .372	-1.965*** -1.002***

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages, foreign affiliates in any sector). Notes: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 2,527 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 1, Table 44). Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 46: CROSS-WAGE ELASTICITIES FOR UNCORRECTED INTENSIVE-MARGIN ES-TIMATES FOR FOREIGN AFFILIATES IN ANY SECTOR

			Wage	e change (by	1%) in	
Emplo	-	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
change	e (%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
HOM	intensive	502***	.022***	.005	.199***	.276***
CEE	intensive	.895***	$-1.175^{***}$	098*	.248***	.131
DEV	intensive	.349	$167^{*}$	037	108	037
OIN	intensive	$3.301^{***}$	.101***	026	-3.926***	$.550^{***}$
WEU	intensive	1.905***	.022	004	.228***	-2.152***

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages).

*Notes*: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 3,183 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from uncorrected ISUR estimation. Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

# Table 47:Relative Difference between Uncorrected and CorrectedIntensive-margin Estimates for Foreign Affiliates in Any Sector

			V	Vage change i	n	
	fference in em-	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
ployment e	effect estimates	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
HOM	intensive	.011 (.717)	017 (.498)	625 (6.913)	$.039 \\ (.966)$	$.024 \\ (3.464)$
CEE	intensive	121 (.445)	.116 (.177)	573(.568)	114 (1.063)	-9.933 (18.010)
DEV	intensive	617 (7.195)	513 (.642)	-1.307 (32.773)	840 (7.883)	$\underset{(27.843)}{1.493}$
OIN	intensive	.085 (1.035)	$.035 \\ (1.281)$	837 (8.013)	.132 (.415)	$.128 \\ (30.273)$
WEU	intensive	$.076 \\ (3.731)$	-11.494 (20.989)	$\underset{(27.992)}{1.566}$	.135 (29.145)	$.093 \\ (.704)$

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages, foreign affiliates in any sector).

*Notes*: The relative difference between elasticities at the intensive margin from uncorrected ISUR estimation and from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimation (Assumption 1) is the difference between the uncorrected and the selectivity-corrected elasticity estimate, divided by the selectivity-corrected estimate. There are 3,183 stacked MNE observations for uncorrected ISUR and 2,527 for selectivity-corrected ISUR estimation. Standard errors from 200 bootstraps over both estimators: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

4.5 MNE Panel 1998-2001 with 2-year Prior Location Selection (1996-1999), Locations by Manufacturing Wage Quartile

	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
$(t: 1998-2001, t-\tau: 1996-99)$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Indic.: Presence in $t$	1.000	.887	.557	.555	.290
Indic.: Presence in $t - \tau$	1.000	.855	.502	.490	.235
Selectivity hazard for $t$		.481	1.194	1.201	1.795
MNE-wide regressors (Labor demand	estimati	on)			
Wage bill share $(t)$	.736	.273	.055	.035	.014
ln Fixed assets $(t)$	18.257	16.231	15.056	15.270	14.898
ln Turnover (t)	19.153	17.902	16.722	16.507	15.947
$\ln \text{ Wage } (t)$	10.360	10.203	9.303	8.434	6.970
Competitor-average regressors (Selecti	on estin	nation)			
ln sample-mean Wage $(t-\tau)$	10.455	10.219	9.354	8.401	7.068
Comp.s' hosts ln Market access	11.459	11.621	12.464	11.536	12.678
Comp.s' hosts skill share $<$ Home $(t-\tau)$	18.719	18.947	20.003	18.631	20.004
Comp.s' hosts skill share $\geq$ Home $(t-\tau)$	59.536	60.446	65.496	61.734	67.233
Comp.s' hosts distance $(t-\tau)$	30.017	30.471	32.711	30.168	33.154
Comp.s' hosts ln Cons. p.c. $(t-\tau)$	34.459	34.920	37.438	34.844	38.222
Parent-firm regressors (Selection estim	nation)				
Indic.: Headquarters West Germany $(t-\tau)$	.974	.976	.978	.956	.945
ln Count of host countries $(t-\tau)$	1.564	1.624	1.810	1.813	2.079
Employment $(t-\tau)$	$3,\!838$	$3,\!533$	$5,\!925$	$5,\!965$	$5,\!804$
Fixed assets $(t-\tau)$ [million]	497.2	465.7	787.2	817.8	920.3
Turnover $(t-\tau)$ [million]	920.0	808.0	$1,\!446.4$	$1,\!515.1$	$1,\!243.2$
Intm. inputs $(t-\tau)$ [million]	537.6	446.8	844.5	896.0	621.0
Liability $(t-\tau)$ [million]	542.8	490.6	855.5	881.6	930.0
Parent observations	663	575	359	363	183

TTONE

ODD

DDI

OTAT

\*\*\*\*\*\*

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages), censored (second-stage) estimation sample of 663 MNEs.

*Notes*: Averages of MNE variables are conditional on presence. Locations: HOM (Germany) and four foreign-country groups by manufacturing-wage quartiles (see Table 4), fourth quartile with top wages.

Table 49: MARGINAL EFFECTS IN LC Presence $(t)$	Qrtl. 4	Qrtl. 3	Qrtl. 2	Qrtl. 1
Predictors $(t-2)$	$\frac{Q101.4}{(1)}$	$\frac{(2)}{(2)}$	$\frac{(3)}{(3)}$	(4)
FDI in Qrtl. 4 $(t-\tau)$	.086 (.826)	282 (.852)	.504 (.204)**	.048 (.117)
FDI in Qrtl. 3 $(t-\tau)$	$.087 \\ (.121)$	$.680$ $(.183)^{***}$	.0006 (.106)	$.301 \\ (.230)$
FDI in Qrtl. 2 $(t-\tau)$	.153 $(.279)$	$.595$ $(.311)^{*}$	.480 (.282)*	062 (.063)
FDI in Qrtl. 1 $(t-\tau)$	$.111 \\ (.243)$	.060 $(.225)$	048 (.174)	034 (.034)
Home sector wage	$.019$ $(.006)^{***}$	$.008$ $(.003)^{***}$	.006 (.004)	001 (.001)
Comp.s' wages Qrtl. 4	049 (.028)*	010 (.021)	$.047$ $(.021)^{**}$	009 (.009)
Comp.s' wages Qrtl. 3	006 (.005)	006 (.004)	.0006 $(.004)$	.0008 $(.002)$
$\mathrm{FDI}^{a}$ in loc. $\times$ Home sector wage	025 (.006)***	008 (.003)**	004 (.004)	.0001 $(.002)$
FDI Qrtl. 4 $(t-\tau)$ × Cmp.s' wages Qrtl. 4	$.052$ $(.028)^{*}$	.005 (.020)	044 (.021)**	003 (.009)
FDI Qrtl. 3 $(t\!-\!\tau)$ $\times$ Cmp.s' wages Qrtl. 3	013 (.010)	.020 (.006)***	011 (.009)	013 (.006)**
FDI Qrtl. 2 $(t\!-\!\tau)$ × Cmp.s' wages Qrtl. 2	056 (.069)	116 (.051)**	$.064 \\ (.051)$	$.023 \\ (.023)$
FDI Qrtl. 1 $(t\!-\!\tau)$ × Cmp.s' wages Qrtl. 1	126 (.233)	030 (.156)	$.052 \\ (.169)$	$.209 \\ (.061)^{***}$
In Count of host countries	$.123$ $(.044)^{***}$	$.102$ $(.029)^{***}$	$.143$ $(.035)^{***}$	.022 $(.014)$
Fixed assets $(t-\tau)$ [billion]	$.043 \\ (.049)$	038 (.034)	006 (.045)	041 (.015)***
Turnover $(t - \tau)$ [billion]	.127 (.048)***	$.225$ $(.093)^{**}$	.026 $(.049)$	$.026 \\ (.017)$
Intm. inputs $(t - \tau)$ [billion]	169 (.063)***	291 (.111)***	018 $(.069)$	046 (.021)**
Liability $(t - \tau)$ [billion]	198 (.087)**	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{017} \\ \textbf{(.061)} \end{array}$	075 (.076)	$.052$ $(.025)^{**}$
Obs. Pseudo $R^2$	2,252 .351	2,252 .534	2,252 .535	2,252 .570

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages), pooled sample of manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates with two-year selection lags ( $\tau = 2$ ).

Notes: Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Further regressors (not significantly different from zero at five percent level in any country group): Competitors' wages in Quartiles 2 and 1, Competitors' hosts ln Market access, Indic. Headquarters West Germany, Employment, Competitors' hosts skill share, Competitors' hosts distance, Competitors' hosts ln Cons. per capita. Locations: Four foreign-country groups by manufacturing-wage quartiles (see Table 4), fourth quartile with top wages.

<sup>*a*</sup>FDI presence in regression location.

Table 50: Cross-wage Elasticities Between Wage Quartile Groups

			Wag	e change (by 1	%) in	
Employ		HOM	Qrtl. 4	Qrtl. 3	Qrtl. 2	Qrtl. 1
change	(%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
HOM	intensive	467**	.402**	.043*	$.015^{*}$	001
Qrtl. 4	intensive only extensive only	1.193** .703***	-1.339** 763***	.104*** .030***	.025* .019***	.009** .004**
Qrtl. 3	intensive only extensive only	1.026* .703***	.833*** .237***	-1.695*** 970***	190*** .019***	$.018$ $.004^{**}$
Qrtl. 2	intensive only extensive only	.572* .703***	.317* .237***	297*** .030***	619** 981***	$.020$ $.004^{**}$
Qrtl. 1	intensive only extensive only	175 .703***	.561* .237***	.134 .030***	$.096$ $.019^{***}$	624 996***

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO wages).

*Notes*: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 663 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 1). Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany) and four foreign-country groups by manufacturing-wage quartiles, fourth quartile with top wages.

## 4.6 Unrestricted Product Market Changes

	OLS	IV	OLS	IV
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
In Employment CEE	004 (.007)	011 (.011)	006 (.009)	.126 (.128)
ln Employment DEV	$.022$ $(.009)^{**}$	.019 (.012)	002 (.010)	053 (.168)
ln Employment OIN	.001 (.009)	.004 (.012)	.011 (.009)	129 (.184)
ln Employment WEU	002 (.008)	.007 (.012)	.021 (.009)**	.236 (.207)
In Equity	$.079$ $(.017)^{***}$	$.075$ $(.018)^{***}$	$.116 \\ (.022)^{***}$	$.153$ $(.073)^{**}$
In Liability	.649 (.023)***	$.651$ $(.024)^{***}$	$.242$ $(.032)^{***}$	.169 (.125)
Parent profits/equity	.001 (.002)	.001 (.002)	.0002 (.001)	-1.00e-05 (.002)
Indic.: Exporter	.406 (.041)***	$.407$ $(.041)^{***}$	$.067$ $(.022)^{***}$	$.085$ $(.048)^{*}$
Year effects	yes	yes	yes	yes
Sectoral trade controls	yes	yes	yes	yes
Firm-fixed effects			yes	yes
Obs.	$2,\!188$	$2,\!188$	$2,\!188$	2,289
$R^2$ (within)	.680	.680	.087	

Table 51: UNRESTRICTED HOME EMPLOYMENT RESPONSES TO FOREIGN MNE EMPLOYMENT

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1998 to 2001 (UNIDO wages), manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates.

Notes: Instruments in columns 2 and 4 are past foreign wages (UNIDO 1996-99) and their interactions with the MNE's past foreign presence (MIDI 1996-99).  $R^2$  within for firm-fixed effects regressions. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Sectoral log home wage dropped due to multi-collinearity. Sectoral trade controls are log exports from Germany, final imports to Germany, and imported intermediate inputs to Germany for four foreign locations. Locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 52: FIRST-STAGE IV PREDICTI	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Competitors' wages CEE	1.00e-05 (.0001)	.0002 (.0001)**	0001 (.0001)	00005 (.0001)
Competitors' wages DEV	-1.00e-05 (1.00e-05)	-4.17e-06 (1.00e-05)	-1.18e-06 (1.00e-05)	00002 (1.00e-05)
Competitors' wages OIN	.0002 (.00006)***	.00004 (.00006)	00005 (.00006)	00004 (.00006)
Competitors' wages WEU	00002 (.00003)	.00004 (.00003)	00002 (.00003)	-7.93e-06 (.00003)
FDI in CEE $\times$ Comp.s' wages CEE $(t\!-\!\tau)$	.00002 (.00002)	-6.61e-06 (.00002)	00002 (.00002)	00002 (.00002)
FDI in DEV $\times$ Comp.s' wages DEV $(t\!-\!\tau)$	7.39e-06 (.00002)	.00002 (1.00e-05)	9.83e-06 (.00002)	-5.11e-07 (.00002)
FDI in OIN $\times$ Comp.s' wages OIN $(t\!-\!\tau)$	-2.07e-06 (3.43e-06)	-7.53e-07 (3.38e-06)	-5.95e-06 (3.49e-06)*	2.37e-06 (3.51e-06)
FDI in WEU $\times$ Comp.s' wages WEU $(t\!-\!\tau)$	-3.80e-07 (3.40e-06)	1.16e-06 (3.35e-06)	-6.48e-07 (3.46e-06)	2.33e-06 (3.48e-06)
In Equity	011 (.063)	$.239$ $(.062)^{***}$	$.218$ $(.064)^{***}$	009 (.064)
ln Liability	$.199 \\ (.091)^{**}$	$.376$ $(.089)^{***}$	.092 $(.092)$	$.381$ $(.093)^{***}$
Parent profits/equity	.0007 $(.003)$	003 (.003)	.001 (.003)	.00006 (.003)
Indic.: Exporter	006 (.064)	140 (.063)**	$.037 \\ (.065)$	133 (.066)**
Year effects	yes	yes	yes	yes
Sectoral trade controls	yes	yes	yes	yes
Firm-fixed effects	yes	yes	yes	yes
Obs.	$2,\!188$	$2,\!188$	$2,\!188$	$2,\!188$
$R^2$ (within)	.051	.065	.033	.030

Table 52: FIRST-STAG	E IV PREDICTIONS	of Foreign I	MNE Employment
----------------------	------------------	--------------	----------------

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1998 to 2001 (UNIDO wages), manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates.

*Notes*: Instruments are past foreign wages (UNIDO 1996-99) and their interactions with the MNE's past foreign presence (MIDI 1996-99). (First-stage estimates for column 4 in Table 51.) Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Sectoral log home wage dropped due to multi-collinearity. Sectoral trade controls are log exports from Germany, final imports to Germany, and imported intermediate inputs to Germany for four foreign locations. Locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

## 5 OWW Wages

5.1 MNE Panel 1998-2001 with 2-year Prior Location Selection (1996-1999)

Table 53: Means of Variables							
	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU		
$(t: 1998-2001, t-\tau: 1996-99)$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
Indic.: Presence in $t$	1.000	.425	.346	.327	.682		
Indic.: Presence in $t-\tau$	1.000	.391	.316	.306	.684		
Selectivity hazard for $(t)$		1.379	1.504	1.639	.841		
MNE-wide regressors (Labor demand e	stimatio	n)					
Wage bill share $(t)$	.835	.066	.030	.158	.154		
ln Fixed assets $(t)$	17.362	14.887	15.217	15.847	15.249		
ln Turnover (t)	18.510	15.935	16.327	17.319	16.964		
$\ln \text{ Wage } (t)$	10.189	8.024	7.589	9.937	9.813		
Competitor-average regressors (Selection	on estima	ation)					
ln sample-mean Wage $(t-\tau)$	10.175	8.046	7.821	9.914	9.801		
Comp.s' hosts' ln Market access $(t-\tau)$	11.255	10.493	12.738	12.686	11.660		
Comp.s' hosts skill share < Home $(t-\tau)$	20.192	18.903	22.532	22.374	20.852		
Comp.s' hosts skill share $\geq$ Home $(t-\tau)$	42.110	38.953	48.478	48.710	44.058		
Comp.s' hosts distance $(t-\tau)$	31.744	29.421	36.253	36.141	32.956		
Comp.s' hosts ln Cons. p.c. $(t-\tau)$	30.489	28.538	34.256	34.185	31.508		
Parent-firm regressors (Selection estimation	ation)						
Indic.: Headquarters West Germany $(t-\tau)$	.971	.963	.972	.967	.977		
ln Count of host countries $(t-\tau)$	1.179	1.329	1.683	1.512	1.347		
Employment $(t-\tau)$	2,266	$3,\!487$	$5,\!040$	$3,\!893$	$2,\!577$		
Fixed assets $(t-\tau)$ [million]	263.3	450.9	680.8	530.5	337.0		
Turnover $(t-\tau)$ [million]	545.7	875.5	$1,\!195.6$	891.7	606.2		
Intm. inputs $(t-\tau)$ [million]	313.6	527.0	686.4	486.7	325.1		
Liability $(t-\tau)$ [million]	305.8	504.0	727.0	552.3	361.3		
MNE-wide interaction terms (Selection estimation)							
FDI in CEE $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages CEE	1.208	2.755	1.153	1.010	.872		
FDI in DEV $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages DEV	.757	.804	2.130	1.104	.865		
FDI in OIN $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages OIN	6.205	4.975	9.218	17.594	5.779		
FDI in WEU $(t-\tau) \times \text{Comp.s'}$ wages WEU	12.410	10.190	13.618	12.394	17.494		
Parent observations	$1,\!467$	617	434	461	838		

### Table 53: MEANS OF VARIABLES

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (OWW wages), censored (second-stage) estimation sample of 1,467 MNEs.

*Notes*: Averages of MNE variables are conditional on presence. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 54: MARGINAL EFF.	ECTS IN P	robit Reg	RESSION	
Presence $(t)$	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
Predictors $(t-2)$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
FDI in CEE $(t-\tau)$	.158 (.410)	$.384 \\ (.363)$	.275 (.361)	400 (.365)
FDI in DEV $(t-\tau)$	025 (.073)	$.837$ $(.210)^{***}$	090 (.047)*	.048 (.098)
FDI in OIN $(t-\tau)$	599 (.298)**	$.586 \\ (.869)$	$1.000 \\ (.0007)^{***}$	$.723$ $(.265)^{***}$
FDI in WEU $(t-\tau)$	$.056 \\ (.193)$	.142 (.182)	065 (.230)	$.996$ $(.005)^{***}$
Home sector wage	014 (.012)	$.016 \\ (.012)$	$.026$ $(.010)^{**}$	$.072$ $(.026)^{***}$
Competitors' wages DEV	$.034$ $(.014)^{**}$	$\begin{array}{c} .0001 \\ (.015) \end{array}$	027 (.018)	.029 $(.019)$
Competitors' wages OIN	023 (.032)	.002 (.030)	.018 (.029)	095 (.039)**
$FDI^a$ in loc. × Home sector wage	$.023 \\ (.014)$	007 (.012)	038 (.013)***	063 (.027)**
FDI in DEV $(t-\tau)$ × Cmp.s' wages DEV	009 (.027)	007 (.022)	$.052$ $(.023)^{**}$	040 (.037)
FDI in OIN $(t-\tau)$ × Cmp.s' wages OIN	.058 $(.042)$	023 (.036)	018 (.029)	061 (.051)
ln Host count	$.069$ $(.039)^{*}$	$.128$ $(.035)^{***}$	$.048$ $(.029)^{*}$	$.154$ $(.054)^{***}$
Employment $(t - \tau)$ [thsd]	$.022$ $(.009)^{**}$	$.023$ $(.008)^{***}$	.004 (.006)	021 (.017)
Turnover $(t - \tau)$ [billion]	018 (.066)	$.013 \\ (.052)$	.063 (.032)**	$.959$ $(.230)^{***}$
Intm. inputs $(t\!-\! au)$ [billion]	.018 $(.074)$	065 (.059)	091 (.040)**	$-1.127$ $(.273)^{***}$
Liability $(t - \tau)$ [billion]	190 (.075)**	070 (.073)	008 (.055)	340 (.123)***
Obs. Pseudo $R^2$	2,460 .555	2,460 .518	2,460 .543	$2,460 \\ .455$

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (OWW wages), pooled sample of manufacturing MNEs and their majority-owned foreign manufacturing affiliates with two-year selection lags ( $\tau = 2$ ). Notes: Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Further regressors (not significantly different from zero at five percent level in any location): Competitors' wages CEE and WEU and their interactions with FDI presence in CEE and WEU, Competitors' hosts ln Market access, Indic. Headquarters West Germany, Fixed assets, Competitors' hosts skill share, Competitors' hosts distance, Competitors' hosts ln Cons. per capita. Without wage-presence interactions, past presence has a marginal effect of .780 (standard error .022) in CEE, .672 (.027) in DEV, .716 (.026) in OIN, and .745 (.020) in WEU. Locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

<sup>*a*</sup>FDI presence in regression location.

Table	55: Translog	Cost Paramet	er Estimates	
Employment in: <sup><math>a</math></sup>	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	metric Selectivi	ty Correction (A	Assumption 1	
$\ln Wages^a$				
НОМ	$.006$ $(.0009)^{***}$	$.001$ $(.0005)^{**}$	$.094$ $(.009)^{***}$	.006 (.006)
CEE	004 (.0008)***	004 (.0002)***	.002 (.00004)***	00006 (.00005)
DEV	004 (.0002)***	.003 (.0003)***	.00008 (.00003)***	00008 (.00004)**
OIN	.002 (.0004)***	.00008 (.0003)	114 (.009)***	$.019$ $(.002)^{***}$
WEU	00006 (.0004)	00008 (.0003)	$.019 \\ (.001)^{***}$	024 (.006)***
Selectivity hazard	9.029 $(12.581)$	-27.972 (12.229)**	$\begin{array}{c} 10.767 \\ \scriptscriptstyle (13.319) \end{array}$	1.841 (12.496)
$\frac{R^2}{}$	.977	.940	.966	.927

. . . ---

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (OWW wages).

Notes: Stacked observations of 1,467 MNEs. Further regressors: In Turnover, In Fixed assets, In MNE wage residuals, Absence indicators, Transformed constant (in parametric selectivity regression). Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Standard errors corrected for first-stage estimation of selectivity hazards (hence not symmetric on restricted coefficients). Locations: HOM (omitted), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

<sup>a</sup>Transformed wage-bill shares and regressors.

Table 56: Cross-wage Elasticities under Parametric Selectivity

			Wage	e change (by	1%) in	
Emplo		HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
change	e (%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
HOM	intensive	303***	.036***	.010***	.163***	.094**
CEE	intensive only extensive only	1.058*** .791***	-1.109*** -1.074***	148*** .026	.113 .016	.086 .094
DEV	intensive only extensive only	.957*** .432	467** .350	627*** 987***	$.059 \\ .143$	$.079 \\ .067$
OIN	intensive only extensive only	$2.711^{***}$ $1.138^{*}$	.064 290	.010 011	$-3.255^{***}$ 741	.470* .026
WEU	intensive only extensive only	.889** .851***	.027 .038	.008 .010	.266* 024	-1.190*** 914***

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (OWW wages).

*Notes*: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 1,467 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 1), Table 55). Standard errors inferred from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

## 6 UBS Wages

6.1 MNE Panel 1998-2001 with 2-year Prior Location Selection (1996-1999), using UBS Wage Data

Table 57: MEAN	NS OF VA	ARIABLES					
	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU		
$(t: 1998-2001, t-\tau: 1996-99)$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
Indic.: Presence in $t$	1.000	.335	.340	.306	.731		
Indic.: Presence in $t-\tau$	1.000	.308	.313	.289	.734		
Selectivity hazard for $(t)$		1.525	1.515	1.666	.767		
MNE-wide regressors (Labor demand e	stimatio	on)					
Wage bill share $(t)$	.770	.067	.062	.201	.194		
ln Fixed assets $(t)$	17.280	14.863	15.295	15.800	15.245		
ln Turnover (t)	18.464	15.993	16.414	17.281	17.033		
$\ln \text{ Wage } (t)$	10.225	8.103	8.749	10.456	10.034		
Competitor-average regressors (Selection	on estim	ation)					
ln sample-mean Wage $(t-\tau)$	10.176	8.468	9.164	10.577	10.052		
Comp.s' hosts skill share < Home $(t-\tau)$	20.437	19.226	22.526	22.450	20.610		
Comp.s' hosts skill share $\geq$ Home $(t-\tau)$	42.445	40.916	47.896	49.366	43.278		
Comp.s' hosts distance $(t-\tau)$	32.095	30.183	36.134	36.361	32.469		
Comp.s' hosts ln Cons. p.c. $(t-\tau)$	30.808	29.209	34.182	34.366	31.125		
Parent-firm regressors (Selection estimation	ation)						
Indic.: Headquarters West Germany $(t-\tau)$	.977	.961	.975	.970	.976		
ln Count of host countries $(t-\tau)$	1.142	1.416	1.596	1.475	1.245		
Employment $(t-\tau)$	$2,\!129$	4,831	$4,\!547$	$3,\!684$	$2,\!123$		
Fixed assets $(t-\tau)$ [million]	242.8	654.5	579.8	499.2	260.2		
Turnover $(t-\tau)$ [million]	506.7	$1,\!281.9$	1,061.5	841.2	482.5		
Intm. inputs $(t-\tau)$ [million]	290.7	779.0	609.4	459.8	257.6		
Liability $(t-\tau)$ [million]	284.6	732.5	634.1	521.5	284.2		
MNE-wide interaction terms (Selection estimation)							
FDI in CEE $(t-\tau) \times$ Comp.s' wages CEE	1.429	4.165	1.602	1.426	1.242		
FDI in DEV $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages DEV	2.876	3.231	7.993	3.886	2.778		
FDI in OIN $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages OIN	11.384	9.968	16.805	34.240	10.155		
FDI in WEU $(t-\tau)$ × Comp.s' wages WEU	17.044	12.944	16.503	15.027	22.394		
Parent observations	$1,\!628$	387	528	497	1,179		

#### Table 57: Means of Variables

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UBS wages), censored (second-stage) estimation sample of 1,628 MNEs.

*Notes*: Cost function observations 1998-2001 (t), location selection observations two  $(\tau)$  years prior to production (1996-99). Locations: Home (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

Table 58: Cross-wage Elasticities under Parametric Selectivity

			Wag	e change (by 1	.%) in	
Emplo		HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU
change	(%) in	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
HOM	intensive	261**	.014***	.0009	.063	.183***
CEE	intensive only extensive only	.682*** .720***	883*** 983***	004 .024	.203 .041	.002 .188**
DEV	intensive only extensive only	$.033 \\ 1.058^{***}$	003 .005	576 -1.106***	.505* .040	$.040 \\ .930$
OIN	intensive only extensive only	$.779 \\ .580$	.053 .027	$.164^{*}$ .012	-1.867** 695	$.871^{***}$ .136
WEU	intensive only extensive only	.989*** 1.202***	.0002 .060	.006 014	.379*** .225	-1.375*** 648***

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UBS wages).

*Notes*: Elasticities at the extensive and intensive margins from 1,628 stacked MNE observations. Underlying labor demand estimates from parametric selectivity-corrected ISUR estimates (Assumption 1). Standard errors inferred from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

## 7 Specification Comparisons

Table 59. FOREIG	N-WAGE I	LASTICIT	IES OF IIC	ME LIMPI	LOIMENI	
		Wage	e change $(1)$	%) in		
Home employment	HOM	CEE	DEV	OIN	WEU	Obs.
change (%)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Stacking						
Ass. 1, unido 98-01	307 (.131)**	$.026$ $(.005)^{***}$	003 (.008)	$.085 \\ (.076)$	$.198$ $(.063)^{***}$	$1,\!654$
Ass. 1, unido 00	537 (.252)**	$.029 \\ (.018)$	.009 (.017)	.301 (.188)	$.198$ $(.095)^{**}$	326
Ass. 1 $Ar(2)$ , unido 98-01	300 (.198)	$.026$ $(.009)^{***}$	003 (.008)	.084 (.112)	$.194$ $(.091)^{**}$	$1,\!654$
Ass. 1, unido 98-01, $\log y$	307 (.112)***	$.027$ $(.006)^{***}$	005 (.008)	$.111 \\ (.073)$	$.175$ $(.054)^{***}$	$1,\!654$
Ass. 1, UBS 98-01	260 (.125)**	$.014$ $(.004)^{***}$	$\begin{array}{c} .0009 \\ (.013) \end{array}$	$.062 \\ (.081)$	$.183 \\ (.056)^{***}$	$1,\!628$
Ass. 1, oww 98-01	303 (.119)**	$.036$ $(.008)^{***}$	$.010$ $(.003)^{***}$	$.163$ $(.081)^{**}$	.094 (.047)**	$1,\!467$
Ass. 3, UNIDO 98-01	$317$ $(.096)^{***}$	$.027$ $(.005)^{***}$	.004 (.008)	$.081 \\ (.065)$	$.204$ $(.041)^{***}$	$1,\!654$
Omnipresent MNEs						
Ass. 1, UNIDO 98-01	152 (.376)	$\begin{array}{c} .002 \\ (.028) \end{array}$	$.059 \\ (.055)$	$.090 \\ (.185)$	$\begin{array}{c} .0003 \\ (.222) \end{array}$	96

Table 59: FOREIGN-WAGE ELASTICITIES OF HOME EMPLOYMENT

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO, UBS and OWW wages).

*Notes*: Elasticities of wage effects on home employment (first row of elasticity matrix) at the intensive margin. Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: HOM (Germany), CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

		Но	me wage ch	ange $(1\%)$ , l	oy regressi	on specifi	cation	
				Stacking				Omnipr.
	UNIDO 98-01	UNIDO 00	UNIDO 98-01 AR(2)	UNIDO 98-01 $\log y$	UBS 98-01	OWW 98-01	UNIDO 98-01	UNIDO 98-01
Emplmt.	Ass. $1$	Ass. $1$	Ass. $1$	Ass. 1	Ass. $1$	Ass. 1	Ass. 3	Ass. 1
chg. (%)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
НОМ	$307$ $(.131)^{**}$	$537$ $(.252)^{**}$	300 (.198)	307 (.112)***	260 (.125)**	303 (.119)**	$317$ $(.096)^{***}$	152 (.376)
CEE	.820 (.157)***	$.834 \\ (.528)$	.796 (.251)***	.842 (.192)***	$.683$ $(.177)^{***}$	$1.058$ $(.218)^{***}$	.834 (.158)***	.084 (1.056)
DEV	157 (.468)	.400 (.793)	146 (.491)	295 (.473)	$.034 \\ \scriptscriptstyle (.489)$	$.959$ $(.252)^{***}$	.245 (.428)	$.978 \\ \scriptstyle (.963)$
OIN	$\begin{array}{c} 1.303 \\ (1.183) \end{array}$	3.811 (2.420)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.280 \\ \scriptscriptstyle (1.812) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.696 \\ (1.080) \end{array}$	.770 (1.002)	2.716 (1.392)*	1.240 (.990)	$.320 \\ (.661)$
WEU	$1.205$ $(.382)^{***}$	$1.117$ $(.529)^{**}$	$1.178 \\ (.551)^{**}$	1.063 (.325)***	$.988$ $(.299)^{***}$	.889 (.438)**	$1.244$ $(.241)^{***}$	.001 (.826)
Obs.	$1,\!654$	326	$1,\!654$	1,654	$1,\!628$	$1,\!467$	$1,\!654$	96

Table 60: Home-Wage Elasticities at the Intensive Margin

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO, UBS and OWW wages).

*Notes*: Elasticities of home wage effects on foreign employment (first column of elasticity matrix) at the intensive margin. Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

		Hon	ne wage cha	ange $(1\%)$ , b	y regressi	on specifi	$\operatorname{cation}$	
				Stacking				Omnipr.
	UNIDO	UNIDO	UNIDO	UNIDO	UBS	OWW	UNIDO	UNIDO
	98-01	00 9	98-01  AR(2)	$98-01 \log y$	98-01	98-01	98-01	98-01
Emplmt.	Ass. 1	Ass. $1$	Ass. 1	Ass. 1	Ass. 1	Ass. 1	Ass. 3	Ass. 1
chg. (%)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
CEE	.794	.789	.791	.784	.720	.792	-12.840	.651

 $(.045)^{***}$ 

.827

 $(.101)^{***}$ 

.643

 $(.184)^{***}$ 

.820

 $(.062)^{***}$ 

1,654

 $(.094)^{***}$ 

1.048

 $(.369)^{***}$ 

.582

(.550)

1.206

 $(.316)^{***}$ 

1,628

 $(.144)^{***}$ 

.416

(.329)

1.140

 $(.690)^{*}$ 

.852

 $(.163)^{***}$ 

1,467

(15.126)

-25.420

(27.013)

-9.269

(9.522)

4.401

(4.064)

1,654

 $(.127)^{***}$ 

.669

(.210)\*\*\*

.501

 $(.157)^{***}$ 

.612

 $(.113)^{***}$ 

96

Table 61: Home-Wage Elasticities at the Extensive Margin

Sources: MIDI and USTAN 1996 to 2001 (UNIDO, UBS and OWW wages).

 $(.111)^{***}$ 

.866

 $(.276)^{***}$ 

.750

.636

 $(.173)^{***}$ 

1,654

 $(.176)^{***}$ 

 $(.043)^{***}$ 

.857

 $(.098)^{***}$ 

.629

 $(.221)^{***}$ 

.838

 $(.072)^{***}$ 

1,654

DEV

OIN

WEU

Obs.

 $(.156)^{***}$ 

.783

 $(.159)^{***}$ 

.578

 $(.214)^{***}$ 

.843

 $(.145)^{***}$ 

326

*Notes*: Elasticities of home wage effects on foreign employment (first column of elasticity matrix) at the extensive margin. Standard errors from 200 bootstraps: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent. Locations: CEE (Central and Eastern Europe), DEV (Developing countries), OIN (Overseas Industrialized countries), WEU (Western Europe).

# Appendix

### Table 62: Aggregate Locations

Locations	Countries
WEU	Western European countries
	(EU 15 plus Norway and Switzerland)
OIN	Overseas Industrialized countries
	including Australia, Canada, Japan, New Zealand, USA
	as well as Iceland and Greenland
CEE	Central and Eastern European countries
	including accession countries and candidates for EU membership
	as well as Balkan countries, Belarus, Turkey, and Ukraine
DEV	Developing countries
	including Russia and Central Asian economies
	as well as dominions of Western European countries and
	of the USA

Variable	Description			
Selection F	Regressions for Location Choice			
GDP	Host country GDP (EUR $12/31/98$ )			
GDP per capita	Host country GDP per capita (EUR $12/31/98$ )			
Distance	Greater circle distance between Berlin and host country capital			
Skill share <sup><math>a</math></sup>	Percentage of adults with some high-school attainment 1999 Barro and Lee (2001)			
Location count	Number of host countries with MNE presence per location			
Employment	Number of employees at parent firm			
Fixed assets	Fixed assets at parent firm (EUR $12/31/98$ )			
Turnover	Turnover at parent firm (EUR $12/31/98$ )			
Intm. inputs	Intermediate inputs at parent firm (EUR $12/31/98$ )			
Liability	Liabilities at parent firm (EUR $12/31/98$ )			
Home sector wage	Gross annualized earnings in sector of German parent			
	(skill-group median at two-digit NACE; source: destatis.de)			
Foreign wage	Skill-group median annualized wages of workers abroad; based on UNIDO			
	data Freeman and Oostendorp (2001)			
Outcome Regressions of Labor Demand				
Wages	Annualized location averages of median UNIDO wages; gross earnings			
-	in parent sector for workforce at German parents (see above)			
Turnover	Sales by location (EUR $12/31/98$ )			
Fixed assets	Fixed assets by location (EUR $12/31/98$ )			

#### Table 63: DESCRIPTION OF VARIABLES

 $^{a}$ The variable Competitors' hosts skill share < Home is zero for host countries with larger relative skill endowments than Home, the variable Competitors' hosts skill share  $\geq$  Home is zero for host countries with smaller relative skill endowments than Home.

### References

- Allen, Roy G. D., Mathematical analysis for economists, London: Macmillan, 1938.
- Barro, Robert J. and Jong Wha Lee, "International Data on Educational Attainment: Updates and Implications," Oxford Economic Papers, July 2001, 53 (3), 541–63.
- Blackorby, Charles and R. Robert Russell, "The Morishima Elasticity of Substitution; Symmetry, Constancy, Separability, and Its Relationship to the Hicks and Allen Elasticities," *Review of Economic Studies*, January 1981, 48 (1), 147–58.
- Das, Mitali, Whitney K. Newey, and Francis Vella, "Nonparametric Estimation of Sample Selection Models," *Review of Economic Studies*, January 2003, 70 (1), 33–58.
- Freeman, Richard B. and Remco H. Oostendorp, "The Occupational Wages around the World Data File," International Labour Review, 2001, 140 (4), 379–401.
- Harrison, Ann E. and Margaret S. McMillan, "Outsourcing Jobs? Multinationals and U.S. Employment," NBER Working Paper, July 2006, 12372.
- Heckman, James J., "Sample Selection Bias as a Specification Error," *Econometrica*, January 1979, 47 (1), 153–61.
- Hicks, John R., Theory of wages, London: Macmillan, 1932.
- Konings, Jozef and Alan Patrick Murphy, "Do Multinational Enterprises Relocate Employment to Low-Wage Regions? Evidence from European Multinationals," *Review* of World Economics/Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv, July 2006, 142 (2), 267–86.
- Morishima, Michio, "A Few Suggestions on the Theory of Elasticity," *Keizai Hyoron (Economic Review)*, 1967, 16, 144–50. in Japanese.
- Muendler, Marc-Andreas and Sascha O. Becker, "Margins of Multinational Labor Substitution," NBER Working Paper, March 2009, 14776.
- Pan, Wei, "Akaike's Information Criterion in Generalized Estimating Equations," Biometrics, March 2001, 57 (1), 120–125.
- Uzawa, Hirofumi, "Production Functions with Constant Elasticities of Substitution," Review of Economic Studies, October 1962, 29 (4), 291–99.